

# TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD GAZETTE

---

**Vol. XIV**

**SEPTEMBER 1995**

**No. 9**

---



# CONTENTS

				Page
<b>1. PART - I</b>				
NEWS & NOTES	...	...	...	(v)
<b>2. PART - II</b>				
GENERAL ADMINISTRATION & SERVICES	...	...	...	438
<b>3. PART - III</b>				
FINANCE	...	...	...	484
<b>4. PART - IV</b>				
TECHNICAL	...	...	...	485
<b>5. PART - V</b>				
IN-HOUSE MANAGEMENT AND CONSULTANCY SERVICES (IMCS)	...			Nil
<b>6. INDEX</b>	...	...	...	496

# NEWS & NOTES

## PART-I NEWS & NOTES

### I. GENERATION PARTICULARS:

The generation/relief figures for September'95 and for the period July'95 to September'95 were as follows:

Sl.No.	September'95	July'95 to September'95 (In Million Units)
1. Ennore T.P.S.	161.439	505.536
2. Tuticorin T.P.S.	579.010	1838.590
3. Mettur T.P.S.	431.060	1475.330
4. North Madras T.P.S.	92.371	193.290
<b>TNEB Thermal</b>	<b>1263.880</b>	<b>4012.746</b>
5. Neyveli TS I	191.228	616.300
6. Neyveli TS II	563.826	1876.901
7. Kalpakkam	37.019	236.473
8. Hydro Generation	643.526	1652.660
9. Import from N.T.P.C.	27.250	346.398
10. Net Export to Kerala	157.553	297.161
11. Import from Manali, BHEL & Private Wind Mills	72.935	184.710
12. Narimanam GTS	--	--
13. Wind Mills	3.165	10.148
14. Kadamparai (Pump Mode)	--	0.228
<b>Net TNEB consumption</b>	<b>2645.276</b>	<b>8000.651</b>

The maximum grid demand & consumption during September'95 were 4352 MW on 26-9-'95 & 92.139 MU on 27-9-'95 respectively. The average grid consumption in September'95 was 88.176 MU per day.

### II. HYDRO INFLOWS:

The hydro inflows during September'95 were 756 MU against 443 MU in September'94 and against the ten year average of 291 MU. The total inflows during the period July'95 to September'95 were 2240 MU against 2403 MU during the same period last year and the ten year average of 1756 MU.

### III. STORAGE POSITION :

The storage position in various reservoirs as on 1-10-'95, when compared to the storage as on 1-10-'94 was as follows :-

Sl. No.	Storage as on		Difference
	1-10-'95	1-10-'94 (Million units)	
1. Nilgiris	1223.60	1370.90	(-) 147.30
2. P.A.P.	245.21	240.68	(+) 4.53
3. Periyar	91.81	64.94	(+) 26.87
4. Papanasam & Servalar	15.73	15.23	(+) 0.50
5. Suruliyar	8.71	30.91	(-) 22.20
6. Kodayar	62.34	93.59	(-) 31.25
Total Excluding Mettur	1647.40	1816.25	(-) 168.85
Mettur	55.32	79.76	(-) 24.44
Total including Mettur	1702.72	1896.01	(-) 193.29

### IV. PERFORMANCE OF THERMAL STATIONS :

#### 1) Tuticorin (5 x 210 MW):

The details of generation at Tuticorin TPS during September'95 were as follows:-

Unit Availability Factor (%)    Generation (MU)    Plant Load Factor (%)

Unit	Availability Factor (%)	Generation (MU)	Plant Load Factor (%)
I (210 MW)	86.25	126.720	83.81
II (210 MW)	24.19	31.060	20.54
III (210 MW)	91.28	130.830	86.53
IV (210 MW)	100.00	149.030	98.56
V (210 MW)	100.00	141.370	93.50
Station (1050 MW)	----	579.010	76.59

Unit II shut down on 1-9-'95 for annual maintenance works came back into service on 23-9-'95

**ii) Ennore (2 x 60 MW + 3 x 110 MW):**

Unit	Availability Factor (%)	Generation (MU)	Plant Load Factor (%)
I (60 MW)	99.63	33.915	78.51
II (60 MW)	96.53	33.531	77.62
III (110 MW)	82.11	44.168	55.77
IV (110 MW)	--	--	--
V (110 MW)	91.54	49.825	62.91
Station (450 MW)	--	141.439	49.83

Unit IV shut down on 25-8-'95 for annual overhaul.

**iii) Mettur (4x210 MW):**

The details of generation at Mettur TPS during September'95 were as follows:

Unit	Availability Factor (%)	Generation (MU)	Plant Load Factor (%)
I (210 MW)	100.00	133.100	88.03
II (210 MW)	47.55	60.110	39.76
III (210 MW)	87.94	125.950	83.30
IV (210 MW)	81.13	111.900	74.01
Station (840 MW)	--	431.060	71.27

i) Unit II shut down on 27-8-'95 for annual overhaul - came back into service on 18-9-'95

ii) Unit III shut down on 27.9.'95 for annual overhaul.

**iv) Coal particulars for September'95**

S.No.	Particulars	Tuticorin	Ennore	Mettur
1.	Coal linkage (in lakhs tonnes)	3.40	2.50	3.80
2.	Coal Receipt (-do-)	3.91 + 0.26 (Imp)	1.32	2.89
3.	Coal Consumption (-do-)	4.11	1.51	2.98
4.	Coal stock as on 31-8-'95 (-do-)	0.60	0.05	0.06
5.	Coal consumption (kg/Unit)	0.71	0.94	0.69

**v) Auxiliary consumption and oil consumption during Sept.95:**

Name of Thermal Power Station	Tuticorin	Ennore	Mettur
Auxiliary consumption (%)	8.30	12.35	8.60
Oil Consumption (ML/Unit)	0.55	4.50	0.776

## V) TRAINING

1. Two C.Es. were deputed for the programme on "Power Sector Planning Management" from 11.9.'95 to 15.9.'95 at Bangalore conducted by Indian Institute of Management, Bangalore.
2. Two Senior Draughtsmen were deputed for the programme on "AUTO CAD" from 11.9.'95 to 15.9.'95 at Madras conducted by Mechanical Engineering Research and Development Organisation.
3. One C.F.C. and one F.C. were deputed for the programme on "Infrastructure Financing, Structuring and Credit enhancement of Debt Instruments" on 22.9.'95 at New Delhi conducted by the credit rating Information Services of India Ltd.,
4. 45 staff and Officers were deputed for the programme on "Personal Computer" from 4.9.'95 to 8.9.'95, 12.9.'95 to 16.9.'95 and 19.9.95 to 23.9.95 at Madras conducted by M/s. Lan Esda Information Technologies.
5. Four Officers were deputed for the programme on "Energy Audit and end-use Efficiency" at Hyderabad from 4.9.'95 to 8.9.'95 conducted by Central Institute for Rural Electrification of Rural Electrification Corporation Ltd.
6. Five Officers were deputed for the programme on "Distribution Switchgear and Protection" from 25.9.'95 to 29.9.'95 at Hyderabad conducted by Central Institute for Rural Electrification of Rural Electrification Corporation Ltd.,
7. Entry level training programme for A.Es/Elecl. was conducted at the following training Institutes for the period indicated below :-
 

H.T.I./K.K.Medu	..	12.9.'95 to 28.9.'95 Batch - C
STC/Madras-10	..	12.9.'95 to 28.9.'95 Batch - E
TTI/Ennore/Madras-57	..	12.9.'95 to 28.9.'95 Batch - D
Tr.& SSTI/Madurai	..	11.9.'95 to 27.9.'95 Batch - F
8. One E.E. was deputed for the programme on "Disaster Management" from 20.9.'95 to 22.9.'95 at Madras conducted by Anna Institute of Management.
9. Two AEE/EI. were deputed to attend 3 weeks course on "Power and Telecommunication Co-ordination" from 4.9.'95 to 22.9.'95 at Bangalore conducted by Central Electricity Authority, Human Research Development and Management Division, Bangalore.
10. Three Civil Engineers in the level of AEE/AE were deputed to attend 2 days seminar on "Protection of Concrete Structures" from 22.9.'95 & 23.9.'95 at CLRI/Madras-20 organised by Indian Concrete Institute, Adayar, Madras-20.
11. 20 Electrical Engineers in the level of EE/AEE/AE from Distribution Circles were deputed to attend the 6 days Exclusive Training Programme on "Renovation and Modernisation of Distribution Systems" from 14.9.'95 to 16.9.'95 at ESCI Campus, Gachibowli, Hyderabad, organised by ESCI/Hyderabad.
12. One AEE/Elecl. two AE/Mechl. and one JE/Mechl. in M.T.P.S. were deputed to attend 2 weeks course on "Welding and Inspection" from 11.9.'95 to 23.9.'95 at Trichy organised by M/s.BHEL/Trichy.
13. 20 Electrical Engineers in the level of SEs/EEs from Distribution Circle were deputed to attend the 6 days exclusive training programme "Demand Side Management and End-use Efficiency" from 19.9.'95 to 24.9.'95 at ESCI Campus, Gachibowli, Hyderabad organised by ESCI/Hyderabad.
14. Two Electrical Engineers in the level of AEE/AE were deputed to attend the course on "DTP" level III and IV for 8 weeks commencing from 8.9.'95 at Madras conducted by M/s.Cadgraf Computer, Madras.

15. Five Engineers from M.T.P.S. & E.T.P.S. in the level of A.E.E.s/A.E.s were deputed to attend 2 days programme "Micro Processor based Integrated Operating Systems for ESP Control" on 8.9.'95 & 9.9.'95 at Ranipet conducted by M/s.BHEL, Ranipet.
16. Member (Generation) had attended the workshop on "Private Power and Reforms in State Electricity Boards" on 28.9.'95 at New Delhi, conducted by Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi.
17. Two Electrical Engineers from GRT were deputed to attend the course on "Relay Symposium on Transmission Protection System" from 14.9.'95 to 16.9.'95 at Bombay organised by M/s.Siemens Limited, Bombay.
18. Three Electrical Engineers were deputed to attend the programme on "Energy Efficient Transmission Distribution & Utilisation of Electricity" on 28.9.'95 & 29.9.'95 at Hyderabad organised by M/s.IIPE/Hyderabad.
19. Five Civil Engineers were deputed to attend the workshop on "Weather Observatory" on 21.9.'95 and 22.9.'95 at Trichy organised by Irrigation Management Training Institute at Thuvakudi, Trichy.
20. Training programme on "Stores Management" was conducted by Staff Training College, Madras from 25.9.'95 to 30.9.'95 for 28 stores personnel.
21. One Exclusive "Management Development Programme for Asst. Exe. Engineers" was conducted by Staff Training College.
22. One exclusive programme on "Electrical Safety for Distribution Engineers" was conducted through Regional Labour Institute, Adyar. 18 Engineers attended.

#### **VI. PROCEDURE FOR EXTENSION OF SUPPLY TO LT/HT INDUSTRIES UNDER "RED CATEGORY" (HIGHLY POLLUTING INDUSTRIES):-**

In Circular Memo.No.SE/IEMC/EE3/AEE1/F.TNPCB/D.503/95 dt.19.9.'95, it has been instructed that application for service connection for LT/HT industries under "Red Category" shall be received only on production of letter of "consent to establish" issued by Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board and the supply to such industries shall be effected only on production of the letter of "consent to operate" issued by Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board.

#### **VII. REVIEW OF ACCD IN RESPECT OF HT INDUSTRIES COVERED BY TARIFF CONCESSION:**

In (Per) B.P. (CH) No.277, (Tech. Branch) dt.15-9-'95, it has been instructed that the Current Consumption Deposit in respect of all HT industries including industries covered by tariff concession should be kept one and half times the average monthly gross current consumption charges paid or payable by the consumer (i.e.) (without deducting the tariff concession amount) subject to the minimum of Initial Current Consumption Deposit and the balance amount if any required for the above amount will have to be demanded as Additional Current Consumption Deposit and collected. The above instructions shall come into force with effect from the review in 1996 onwards.

#### **VIII. BEARING THE COST OF BED ROLL CHARGES WHILE TRAVELLING IN TRAIN BY I CLASS :**

In Board's Memo.No.72867-P3/94-4 dt.19-9-'95, it has been clarified that the officers while travelling in train by I Class have to bear the cost of bed rolls.

#### **IX. CHANGE OF NOMENCLATURE OF CHIEF ENGINEER/ENMORE THERMAL POWER STATION & BASIN BRIDGE POWER HOUSE AS CHIEF ENGINEER/ENMORE THERMAL POWER STATION :**

In Board's Memo.No.62123/O&M-I(3)/95-1 dt.23-9-'95, it has been ordered that the post of "Chief Engineer/E.T.P.S. & B.B.P.H." shall be redesignated as "Chief Engineer/E.T.P.S."

B.G. - 2 (Sep. '95)

The following are the details of Post Created, Abolished, Upgraded and Downgraded during the month of September 1995.

Olney Aaron,  
Chief Engineer / Personnel.

### POSTS CREATED

SL. NO.	DETAILS OF BOARD'S ORDER	NAME OF THE CIRCLE	NAME OF THE POST	No.OF POST	PURPOSE FOR WHICH THE POSTS WERE CREATED	REMARKS
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	Per.B.P.(FB) No.40 (Adm.Br.) Dated 01-09-1995	Pykara Ultimate Stage Hydro Electric p r o j e c t , Masinagudi	AEE/Civil AE/JE (C) I Grade Senior D'man D'man Accts.Supr. Asst. (A/cs) J.A. (A/cs) Typist	2 6 1 1 1 2 2 1 <u>16</u>	Created the posts for various field works.	Upto 31.01.96
2.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No.332 (Adm.Br.) Dated 01-09-1995	Parsons Valley Power House, Emerald	AEE/Elect. AE/JE(E) I Grade Accts. Supr. Adm. Supr. Asst. (Adm.)	2 2 5 1 3 <u>13</u>	Created the posts for attending the Central Office & Division Office works.	Upto 31-01-96
3.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No.329 (Adm.Br.) Dated 01-09-1995	Dindigul Anna Electricity Dis- tribution Circle	AE/JE(E) I Gr. JE (E) II Grade L.I. C.A. Helper	1 4 6 1 2 <u>14</u>	Created the posts for 110/22/11 KV Sub-station at Sithargalnatham.	Upto 31-07-96
4.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No.333 (Adm.Br.) Dated 02-09-1995.	Board Office Technical Branch (S.E./IPP)	A.E./CMI	1	Created the posts for preparing feasibility report & other allied civil works of Privatisa- tion of projects.	One Year



1	2	3	4	5	6	7
5.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.335 (Adm.Br.) Dated 04-09-1995	Board Office Technical Branch (S.E./MDC)	Asst. Adm. Officer Adm. Supr. Assistant (Adm.)	1 1 <u>1</u> 3	Created the posts for to cope up with the increased estt. works consequent on the attachment of control centre Division & Building Division.	One Year
6.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.337 (Adm.Br.) Dated 05-09-1995 & Memo.No.110032/ 522/S2/A2/95-1 Dt.7-10-95.	Board Office Technical Branch (C.E./H&GT)	A.E.E./Elec.	1	Created the post as AEE/Import Clearance, in lieu of one post of E.E./Elec.	One Year
7.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.339 (Adm.Br.) Dated 05-09-1995.	Madras Elec. Distribution Circle/South	I.A. Assessor	4 <u>10</u> 14	Created the posts on review of work load as on 01-01-1995.	One Year
8.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.340 (Adm.Br.) Dated 06-09-1995	General Construction Circle (Central) Trichy	AEE/Civil AE/Civil Civil Maistry I Gr Time Keeper I Gr	1 1 1 <u>1</u> 4	Created the posts for attending the TLC works at Pudukottai	Upto 31.1.96
9.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.343 (Adm.Br.) Dated 07-09-1995	Thanjavur Electricity Dis- tribution Circle	AE/JE(E) I Grade JE (E) II Grade L.I. C.A. Helper	1 4 6 1 <u>2</u> 14	Created the posts for 110/11 KV SS (N-G) at Pattu- kottai.	Upto 31-07-96
10.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.274 (Adm.Br.) Dt.8-9-95	Madras Elec.Distrn. Circle (South)	Accts.Supr. Asst.(A/cs)	3 <u>6</u> 9	Created the posts on review of work load as on 1-1-95.	One Year
11.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.345 (Adm.Br.) Dated 11-09-1995.	Trichy Elec. Circle / North	S.B.O. Helper	4 <u>4</u> 8	Created the posts for 33/11 KV SS at Melakothempatty	Upto 31-7-96

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
12.	Per.B.P.(Ch) No.344 (Adm.Br.) Dated 11-09-1995	Trichy Elecy. Distn. Circle/ North	AE/JE (E) I Gr. JE (E) II Grade L.I. C.A. Helper	1 4 6 1 <u>2</u> <u>14</u>	Created the posts for 110/22 KV SS (N-G) at Mangoon.	Upto 31-07-96
13.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.222 (Sectt.Br.) Dated 11-09-1995	Board Office Secretariat Branch	Assistant Jr.Asst. Typist	2 1 <u>1</u> <u>4</u>	Created the posts	
14.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.351 (Adm.Br.) Dated 13-09-1995	Theni Elecy. Distribution Circle	A.A.O. AE/JE/(E) I Gr. Accts. Supr. Adm.Supr. D'man Asst. (A/cs) Asst. (Adm.) O.H.	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 <u>3</u> <u>13</u>	Created the posts for Central Office	Upto 31-07-96
15.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.352 (Adm.Br.) Dated 15-09-1995	North Madras Thermal Power Station	EE/Elecl EE/Mechl AEE/Elecl. AEE/Mechl. AEE/Elecl. AE/Mechl.	3 2 13 7 31 <u>12</u> <u>68</u>	Created the posts for attending the O&M works of Unit III, NMTPS.	Upto 31-01-96
16.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.353 (Adm.Br.) Dated 15-09-1995.	Madras Elecy. Distribution Circle/Central	Asst.Adm.Officer	1	Created the post for Mylapore Division	One Year
		Madras Elecy. Distn. Circle (South)	Asst.Adm.Officer	3	Created the post for Guindy, KK- Nagar and Tam- baram Division.	One Year
		Salem Elecy. Distn. Circle.	Asst.Adm. Officer	2	Created the post for Valapady & Attur Divislons.	One Year

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Mettur Elec. Distn. Circle	Asst. Adm. Officer	3	Created the posts for Mettur, Sankari and Tiruchengode Divisions	One Year
		Trichy Elec. Distribution Circle/Metro	Asst. Adm. Officer	1	Created the post for Trichy East Division	One Year
		Trichy Elec. Distn. Circle (North)	Asst. Adm. Officer	2	Created the posts for Thuralyur and Musiri Divisions.	One Year.
		The Nilgiris Elec. Distn. Circle	Asst. Adm. Officer	1	Created the post for Coonoor Division	One Year.
		Tirunelveli Kattabomman Elec. Distn. Circle.	Asst. Adm. Officer	1	Created the post for Tenkasi Division.	One Year.
17.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No. 229 (Sectt. Br.) Dated 25-09-1995.	Board Office Audit Branch	Internal Audit Officer	3	Created the posts in Audit Branch	One Year.
18.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No. 363 (Adm. Br.) Dated 26.9.95.	Chidambaram Elec. Distn. Circle	Wireman Helper	15 8 <u>23</u>	Created the posts on review of field staff as on 01-01-1995.	Upto 31-10-96
19.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No. 364 (Adm. Br.) Dated 26-09-1995	Wind Energy Development Cell, Madurai.	EE/Elect. AEE/Elect. Foreman I Grade Fitter I Grade Electn. I Grade Asst. (A/cs) Typist	1 1 1 1 1 1 <u>7</u>	Created the posts for attending the works of addl. WEDC Division at Tirunelveli.	Upto 31-12-95
20.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No. 365 (Adm. Br.) Dated 27-09-1995	Tirunelveli Kattabomman Electricity Distn. Circle	AEE/Elect. J.A. (Adm.) C.I.	1 1 2 <u>4</u>	Created the posts for formation of one Sub Division based on Sections as on 1-1-94	One Year
21.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No. 366 (Adm. Br.) Dated 27-09-1995	Villupuram Ramasamy Padayachari Elec. Distn. Circle	L.I. Helper	6 2 <u>8</u>	Created the posts for 110/22 KV SS at Kallakurichi	One Year

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
22.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No.367 (Adm.Br.) Dated 27-09-1995	Coimbatore Elec. Distn. Circle (North)	Helper	2	Created the posts each in P.N. Palayam & Annur RCC Yards.	One Year
23.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No.368 (Adm. Br.) dated 27-09-1995	Villupuram Ramasaamy Padayachiar Elec. Distn. Circle.	AE/JE(E) I Grade JE (E) II Grade L.I. Helper	1 4 6 <u>2</u> <u>13</u>	Created the posts for 110/22 KV SS (Non-Grid)	1 Year with effect from 01.11.95
24.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No.371 (Adm.Br.) Dated 28-09-1995.	Udumalpet Elec. Distn. Circle	AEE/Elect. J.A.(Adm.) C.I.	1 1 <u>2</u> <u>4</u> <u>—</u>	Created the posts for a new addl. Sub-Division	One Year
25.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.235 (Sectt.Br.) Dated 28-09-1995	Board Office Secretariat Branch	Personal Asst. Office Helper	1 <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>—</u>	Created the posts due to abolition of I.P.S. and 1 Duf- fadar posts in O/o the C.E./Ch.'s Office.	
26.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.373 (Adm.Br.) Dated 29-09-1995	Madras Elec. Distribution Circle/(North)	AE/JE(E) I Grade Foreman I Grade L.I. L.I./C.I. C.I. C.A. Wireman Helper	1 2 1 1 1 1 38 <u>36</u> <u>81</u>	Created the posts on review of field staff as on 1-1-95	Upto 31-03-96.

## POST ABOLISHED

SL. NO.	DETAILS OF BOARD'S ORDER	NAME OF THE CIRCLE	NAME OF THE POST	No.OF POST	PURPOSE FOR WHICH THE POSTS WERE ABOLISHED	REMARKS
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No.330 (Adm.Br.) Dated 01-09-1995	Hydro Project Urachikottai	Asst.D'man	1	Abolished the post consequent on the upgradation of posts as D'man.	With immediate effect
2.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No.343 (Adm.Br.) Dated 07-09-1995	Thanjavur Elec'y Distn. Circle	S.B.O. Helper	4 4 8	Abolished the posts consequent on the upgradation of 66/11 KV SS as 110/11 KV SS(N-G) at Pattukottal.	With immediate effect
3.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No.348 (Adm.Br.) Dated 13-09-1995	Madras EDC/ Central	Head D'man Asst.D'man	1 1 2		
		Madras EDC/ South	Head D'man Asst.D'man	1 1 2		
		Madras EDC/ North	Head D'man Asst.D'man	1 1 2	Abolished the posts consequent on the posts up-graded as Chief Head D'man	With immediate effect
		Madras EDC/ West	Head D'man Asst. D'man	1 1 2		
		Trichy EDC/ Metro	Head D'man Asst. D'man	1 1 2		
		Trichy EDC/ North	Head D'man Asst.D'man	1 1 2		
		Thanjavur EDC	Head D'man Asst. D'man	1 1 2		

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Nagapattinam Quaid	Head D'man	1		
		- E - Milleth EDC	Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Madurai EDC	Head D'man	1		
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Dindigul Anna	Head D'man	1		
		EDC	Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Pasumpon	Head D'man	1		
		Muthuramalinga	Asst. D'man	1		
		Thevar EDC		<u>2</u>		
		Kamarajar EDC	Head D'man	1		
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Chidambaranar EDC	Head D'man	1		
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Tirunelveli	Head D'man	1		
		Kattabomman EDC	Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Salem EDC	Head D'man	1		
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Mettur EDC	Head D'man	1	Abolished the posts consequent on the posts upgraded as Chief Head D'man	With immediate effect
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Tiruvannamalai	Head D'man	1		
		Sambuvarayar EDC	Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Vellore Ambedhkar	Head D'man	1		
		EDC	Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Tirupathur EDC	Head D'man	1		
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Periyar EDC	Head D'man	1		
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		

## XVII

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Udumalpet EDC	Head D'man	1		
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Kancheepuram EDC	Head D'man	1		
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Chengalpattu MGR EDC	Head D'man	1		
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Dharmapuri EDC	Head D'man	1		
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Coimbatore EDC (South)	Head D'man	1	Abolished the posts consequent on the posts upgraded as Chief Head D'man	With immediate effect
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Coimbatore EDC (North)	Head D'man	1		
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
		Villupuram	Head D'man	1		
		Ramasamy	Asst. D'man	1		
		Padayachiar EDC		<u>2</u>		
		South Arcot Vallalar EDC	Head D'man	1		
			Asst. D'man	1		
				<u>2</u>		
4.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No.353 (Adm.Br.) Dated 15-09-1995.	Madras EDC/ (Central)	J.A.(Adm.)	1	Abolished the post consequent on creation of 1 post of A.Adm.O in Mylapore Division.	With immediate effect.
		Madras EDC/ (South)	J.A.(Adm.)	3	Abolished the posts consequent on creation of 1 post each in Gulindy, K.K. Nagar and Tambaram Divisions.	With immediate effect

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Salem EDC	J.A.(Adm.)	2	Abolished the posts consequent on creation of 1 post each in Valapady & Attur Divisions.	With immediate effect
		Mettur EDC	J.A.(Adm.)	3	Abolished the posts consequent on the creation of one AAO post each in Mettur, Sanhari and Tiruchengode Divisions.	- do -
		Trichy EDC/ Metro	J.A.(Adm.)	1	Abolished the post consequent on creation of 1 post of A.Adm.O. in Trichy East Division.	- do -
		Trichy EDC/ North	J.A.(Adm.)	2	Abolished the posts consequent on the creation of 1 post of A.Adm.O. each for Thuraiyur and Musiri Divisions.	- do -
		The Nilgiris EDC	J.A.(Adm.)	1	Abolished the post consequent on the creation of 1 post of A.Adm.O. in Coonoor Division	- do -
		Tirunelveli Kattabomman EDC	J.A.(Adm.)	1	Abolished the post consequent on the creation of 1 post of A.Adm.O. in Tenkasi Division.	- do -



1	2	3	4	5	6	7
5.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No.362 (Adm.Br.) Dated 15-09-1995	North Madras Thermal Power Project	EE/Elect. EE/Mechl. AEE/Elect. AEE/Mechl. AE/Elect. AE/Mechl.	3 2 13 7 31 12 <u>68</u>	Abolished the posts consequent on the same posts created in NMTPS for O&M works of Unit III.	With immediate effect
6.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No.235 (Sectt.Br.) Dated 28-09-1995.	Board Office Secretariat Branch	Private Secretary Duffadar	1 1 <u>2</u>	Abolished the posts consequent on creation of 1. post of P.A. & 1 post of O.H. in O/o the C.E./Ch.'s Office.	- do -
7.	Per. B. P. (Ch.) No.373 (Adm.Br.) Dated 29-09-1995.	Madras Elec. Dist. Circle/ North	AE/JE(E) I Grade Foreman I Grade L.I. C.I. C.A.	1 2 1 1 1 <u>6</u>	Abolished the posts consequent on review of field staff as on 01-01-95.	- do -

## POSTS UPGRADED

SL. NO.	DETAILS OF BOARD'S ORDER	NAME OF THE CIRCLE	NAME OF THE POST	No.OF POST	PURPOSE FOR WHICH THE POSTS WERE UPGRADED	REMARKS
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.330 (Adm.Br.) Dated 01-09-1995.	Hydro Project/ Urachikottai	Draughtsman	1	Upgraded the post of Asst. D' man	Upto 31-01-96
2.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.348 (Adm.Br.) Dated 13-09-1995	Madras EDC/ Central	Chief Head D'man	1	Upgraded the post consequent on the abolition of 1 post of Head D'man & 1 post of Asst.D'man.	With immediate effect
		Madras EDC/ South	Chief Head D'man	1	Upgraded the post consequent on the abolition of 1 post of Head D'man and 1 post of Asst.D'man	- do -

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Madras EDC/ North	Chief Head D'man	1		Upgraded the post consequent on the abolition of 1 post of Head D'man and 1 post of Asst.D'man	With immediate effect
	Madras EDC/ West	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	Trichy EDC/ Metro	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	Trichy EDC/ North	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	Thanjavur EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	N a g a p a t t i n a m Quaid-E-Millath EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	Madurai EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	Dindigul Anna EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	Kamarajar EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	Chidambaranar EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	Tirunelveli Kattabomman EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	Salem EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	Mettur EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	Tiruvannamalai Sambavarayar EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	- do -
	Vellore Ambedhkar EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		Abolished the post Upgraded the post consequent on the abolition of 1 post of Head D'man and 1 post of Asst. D'man.	- do -
	Tirupathur EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	-do-
	Pertiyar EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	-do-
	Udumalpet EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	-do-
	Kancheepuram EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	-do-
	Chengalpattu MGR EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	-do-
	Dharmapuri EDC	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	-do-
	Coimbatore EDC (South)	Chief Head D'man	1		-do-	-do-

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Coimbatore EDC (North)	Chief Head D'man	1	-do-	-do-
		Villupuram Ramasamy Padayachiar EDC	Chief Head D'man	1	-do-	-do-
		South Arcot Vallalar EDC	Chief Head D'man	1	-do-	-do-

### POSTS DOWNGRADED

SL. NO.	DETAILS OF BOARD'S ORDER	NAME OF THE CIRCLE	NAME OF THE POST	No. OF POST	PURPOSE FOR WHICH THE POSTS WERE DOWNGRADED	REMARKS
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	Per.B.P.(Ch.) No.227 (Sectt.Br.) Dated 21-09-1995.	Board Office Secretariat Branch	Superintending Engineer/Elect.		Downgraded the existing C.E./ Elect.In the O/o the C.E./Ch.'s office, as S.E. post.	With Immediate effect.

## **SPORTS**

### **SPORTS ACTIVITIES OF TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD**

#### **FOOTBALL**

The All India Nilgiris Trophy Foot Ball Tournament was held at Ooty from 20.1.95 to 5.2.95. Totally 20 Teams have participated in the above tournament. T.N.E.B. Foot Ball Team also participated in the above tournament. The above tournament was conducted on knock-out basis. The results of the matches played by T.N.E.B. in the above tournament:-

T.N.E.B.	beat N.L.C.	3-1
T.N.E.B.	beat Kannur Police	2-0 in the Quarter finals
T.N.E.B.	beat Madras Universal Rec.Club	4-0 in the Semi finals
T.N.E.B.	beat Netaji	4-2 in the Finals.

The T.N.E.B. Foot Ball Team has played very well throughout the tournament and its performance was very good. This is the first time that the TNEB Foot Ball Team has won such major All India tournament after a long time in which so many good teams like N.L.C., K.S.E.B., I.O.B. and Karnataka Police have participated.

The All India Foot Ball Tournament for G.S.Challenge Trophy was held at Dindigul from 22.5.95 to 28.5.95. Totally 20 teams have participated in the above tournament. The TNEB Foot Ball Team has also participated in the above tournament and lost to Income-Tax in the finals and secured second place in the above tournament.

The Senior Division League Championship of Madras Foot Ball Association was held at Madras from 20.6.95 onwards 13 teams have participated in which TNEB Foot Ball Team has also participated. Out of 13 teams, six teams have entered Super League and TNEB Foot Ball Team was one among them. In the League & Super League matches, the TNEB Foot Ball Team has defeated top teams like Indian Bank, I.C.F. and Customs etc.

#### **KABADDI**

The State Level Kabaddi Tournaments were conducted on the occasion to celebrate the 47th Birthday of the Hon'ble Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu at Cholavandhan from 24.2.95 to 26.2.95 at Madurai from 28.2.95 to 3.3.95 and Musiri from 3.3.95 to 5.3.95. The T.N.E.B. Kabaddi Team has participated in all the above three tournaments and won all the three tournaments and performed a hatrick.

The above tournaments were conducted on League cum Knockout basis. In the finals held at Cholavandhan on 26.2.95 the T.N.E.B. beat Southern Railway, Madras by 23-15. In the finals held at Madurai on 2.3.95, the T.N.E.B. beat Sun Paper Mills by 30-10 and in the finals held at Musiri on 5.3.95, the T.N.E.B. beat T.S.P., Palani by 30-17.

The T.N.E.B. Kabaddi Team has played very well and its performance was outstanding. This is the first time that the TNEB Kabaddi Team has won three tournaments in a row and performed a hat-trick in the State level Invitation Tournaments.

The XXII All India Electricity Board Kabaddi tournament was conducted by Haryana State Elec. Board at Chandigarh from 6.4.95 to 9.4.95. The TNEB Kabaddi Team has participated in the above tournament and won the first place. The TNEB Kabaddi Team has won the above tournament consecutively for the last three years (i.e. from 1993 to 1995) and performing a second hat-trick by winning the All India Elec. Board Kabaddi tournament at Chandigarh.

All India Invitation Kabaddi tournament was conducted by DGP Devaram Kabaddi Club at Salem from 10.5.95 to 14.5.95. Many teams from all over the country have participated in the above tournament. The TNEB Kabaddi Team has also participated in the above tournament and secured First place.

State Level Kabaddi tournaments were held at Tuticorin from 16.5.95 to 20.5.95 and Madras from 23.5.95 to 25.5.95. The TNEB Kabaddi Team has participated in the above two tournaments and secured first place in the above tournaments.

State Level Kabaddi tournaments were also held at Kallakurichi from 10.6.95 & 11.6.95 and Chennimalai from 16.6.95 to 18.6.95. Many top teams from the State have participated in the above tournament. The TNEB Kabaddi Team has also participated in the above two tournaments and secured first places.

The T.N.E.B. Kabaddi Team has participated in Ten tournaments so far during this year 1995 and it has won all the ten tournaments without losing a single match and exhibited outstanding performances.

## CRICKET

The XXII All India Electricity Board Cricket Tournament was conducted by Tamil Nadu Electricity Board at Trichy from 4.5.95 to 9.5.95. 14 State Elec. Boards teams from all over the Country have participated in the above tournament at Trichy. The T.N.E.B. Cricket Team has also participated in the above tournament and won the Championship for the first time.

The results of the matches played by T.N.E.B. Cricket Team:-

Tata - 76 All out	Lost to T.N.E.B. - 77 for 3.
Uttar Pradesh S.E.B. - 84 All out	Lost to T.N.E.B. - 85 for 1.
Punjab State E.B. - 114 for 9	Lost to T.N.E.B. - 115 for 4.

The T.N.E.B. Cricket Team has played very well and its performances in the above tournament was outstanding.

## BALL BADMINTON

The All India Invitation Ball Badminton Tournament was held at Chamraj Nagar (Mysore) from 9.3.95 to 12.3.95. 24 Teams from all over India have participated in the above tournament. The T.N.E.B. Ball Badminton Team has also participated in the above tournament.

The above tournament was conducted on League cum Knockout basis. In the league matches T.N.E.B. beat Kuvembu Nagar BC by 29-15, 29-26, T.N.E.B. beat HCL, Hyderabad by 29-21, 29-22, T.N.E.B. beat South Central Railway by 21-29, 29-14 and 29-25 and T.N.E.B. lost to Income Tax, Coimbatore by 28-29, 29-21, 23-29. and qualified to play in the Quarter finals. In the Quarter finals, T.N.E.B. beat HAL, Hyderabad by 29-28, 29-12.

In the semi-finals, T.N.E.B. beat Chamraj Nagar BC by 29-21 & 29-22 and entered finals. In the finals, T.N.E.B. beat the South Central Railway comfortably which is one of the strongest teams in the country.

The T.N.E.B. Ball Badminton Team has played very well and its performance was really good. This is the second time that the T.N.E.B. Ball Badminton Team has won such major All India tournaments in the field of Ball Badminton.

The T.N.E.B. X Ball Badminton Team has also participated in the All India Invitation Ball Badminton tournament held at Hyderabad from 11.5.95 to 14.5.95. Many top teams from all over India have participated in the above tournament. T.N.E.B. Ball Badminton Team secured Third place in the above tournament.

## **VOLLEY-BALL**

The State Level Volley Ball tournaments were held at Erode from 24.2.95 to 26.2.95, Madras from 15.3.95 to 18.3.95 and again at Madras from 4.4.95 to 7.4.95. All the good teams in the State have participated in the above tournaments. The T.N.E.B. Volley Ball Team has also participated in all the three tournaments.

The above three tournaments were conducted on League cum knockout basis. In the finals held at Erode, TNEB beat PATC by 15-4, 15-7 and 15-5. In the finals of the Nellai Friends tournament at Madras, the T.N.E.B. beat the Indian Bank (one of the best teams in the country) by straight sets. This is the first time that the TNEB Volley Ball Team has won such tournament at Madras. In another State Level Volley Ball tournament conducted by A.G.'s Office Rec.Club at Madras held on 7.4.95, the TNEB beat the I.C.F. by 15-10, 15-12 and 15-10 in the finals. This is the first time that the TNEB Volley Ball Team has won three consecutive State Level tournaments.

The T.N.E.B. Volley Ball Team has also participated in the XVI Annual State Volley Ball Tournament held at Pondicherry from 1.6.95 to 24.6.95, in the IX T.N.E.B. Invitation Volley Ball Tournament conducted by E.T.P.S at Ennore from 21.6.95 to 29.6.95 and in the First State Level Volley Ball tournament held at Madras from 12.7.95 to 15.7.95. The T.N.E.B. Volley Ball Team has won all the above three tournament beating A.G.'s Office in the finals at Ennore, Central Excise at Pondicherry and I.O.B. at Madras.

The T.N.E.B. Volley Ball Team has participated in 9 tournaments so far during this year and won 7 tournaments and earned very good name in the field of Volley Ball.

## **BASKET BALL**

The 37th All India Basket Ball tournament for Nachimuthu Trophy was held at Coimbatore from 27.5.95 to 30.5.95. The T.N.E.B. Basket Ball Team has participated in the above tournament and secured second place.

The Tamil Nadu Public Sector Basket Ball tournament was conducted by Tamil Nadu Electricity Board at Coimbatore from 5.7.95 to 7.7.95. The T.N.E.B. Basket Ball Team won the above tournament in a convincing manner beating Cheran Transport Corporation.

The T.N.E.B. Basket Ball Team has also participated in the All India Basket Ball tournament for PSG Trophy held at Coimbatore from 9.8.95 to 15.8.95. Many top teams from all over India have participated in that tournament. T.N.E.B. Basket Ball Team secured second place in the above tournament which has lost to Central Excise, Coimbatore by one point i.e. 64-63.

The Second T.N.E.B. Invitation Basket Ball tournament was conducted by T.T.P.S. at Tuticorin from 28.8.95 to 31.8.95. The T.N.E.B. Basket Ball Team won this Invitation tournament for the second time beating Tuticorin Port Trust, Tuticorin in the finals.

## **HOCKEY**

The First T.N.E.B. State Level Invitation Hockey Tournament was conducted by Tirunelveli Kattabomman E.D.C. at Tirunelveli from 2.8.95 to 7.8.95. Many top teams from the State have participated in the above tournament. T.N.E.B. Hockey Team has also participated in the above tournament at Tirunelveli and won the above tournament beating A.G.'s Office in the finals by 2-1.

# GENERAL ADMN. & SERVICES

## PART - II

### GENERAL ADMN. & SERVICES

Memorandum No.56674/A1/95-1, Secretariat Branch dated 1-9-1995.

Sub: ESTABLISHMENT - Class I Officers - Retired from the service of the Board  
on 31.7.1995 - AN -Notification - issued.

- - -

### NOTIFICATION

The following officers have retired from the service of the Board on the afternoon of 31.7.1995 :-

Tvl.

- 1) A.P.RAJAGOPALAN, C.E./Civil/PUSHEP/Masinagudi
- 2) K.N.RANGARAJAN, C.E./M.M. Madras.
- 3) M.S. NAWAB JAN, Deputy Secretary/B.O.S.B.
- 4) S.SUNDARESAN, E.E./PH/Glenmorgan
- 5) T.S.BALASUBRAMANIAN, Deputy Chief Chemist-Voluntary Retirement

S.RAMASAMY,  
DEPUTY SECRETARY/PERSONNEL

\* \* \*

Memorandum (Permanent) No.74900-N1/89-7, SECRETARIAT BRANCH dated 1.9.95

Sub: PENSION - Payment of Terminal benefits to employees including employees of State  
Government undertaking and Local Bodies absorbed in Neyveli Lignite Corporation Ltd.,  
-Certain clarification - Issued.

- Ref: 1) G.O.Ms.No.40, Finance (Pension) Department dated 20.1.89.  
2) (Per) B.P.(FB) No.56, dated 19-7-89.  
3) From Government letter No.95993/Per./89-1 Fin.(Pen) Department dated 15.9.89.  
4) From Government Ir.No.108231/Per./90-3 dated 19.2.91.

- - -

B. G. -4 (Sep. '95)

The Government have now issued certain clarifications regarding payment of terminal benefits to the employees in their letters dated 15.9.89 and 19.2.91. The following clarifications are issued which will apply to the persons eligible for pensionary benefits for Board portion of service in similar situation :-

Sl.No.	Points raised	Clarifications issued
1	2	3
1.	Whether in respect of cases covered under (Per) B.P.(FB) No.56, dated 19.7.89, any option has to be allowed either to receive monthly Pension and Death-cum-Retirement Gratuity or to receive the gratuity and a lumpsum amount in lieu of pension and if so the date within which the option has to be exercised.	As the amount of pension will not be much, these absorptionists may not be willing to receive lumpsum amount in lieu of pension. Hence, there is no need to allow option. They may be permitted to receive monthly pension and Death-cum-Retirement Gratuity. Further, if they wish to commute a portion of pension, they may be allowed such commutation as per the Board Rules at the time of their superannuation.
2.	Whether restoration of commuted portion of pension is to be allowed on completion of 15 years from the date of absorption or from the date following the notional date of superannuation had they been in the service of the Board and retired or on the completion of 15 years from the date of effect.	Restoration of commuted portion of pension shall be allowed on the completion of 15 years from the date on which commutation takes effect.
3.	Whether these absorptionists are eligible for revision of pension with reference to (i) B.P.Ms.No.106 (Adm.) dated 17-10-79, (ii) B.P.Ms. (Ch) No.479 Dt.30.12.85 and (iii) (Per) B.P.(Ch) No.258 dated 20.9.89.	They are eligible for revision of pension with reference to these Board's orders.
4.	Whether the absorptionists are eligible for revision of pension with reference to B.P.Ms.(FB) No.77 dated 15.9.84 and B.P.Ms.(Ch) No.28 (SB) dated 8.2.88.	They are not eligible for revision of pension with reference to these Board's orders.
5.	In respect of these pensioners where application for commutation is given to the Chief Internal Audit Officer within one year from the date of issue of pension payment order, such pensioners need not undergo medical examination.	The position set out is correct.
6.	In respect of cases where application for commutation is received from field officers within one year from the date of issue of pension payment order a report on the eligibility will be sent to the field office and the pension sanctioning authority has to accord sanction specifying the date of effect of reduced pension, so as to enable the Chief Internal Audit Officer to issue authorisation.	The position set out is correct.
7.	Whether interest at the rates specified in para 3 of this proceedings for the Board's contribution on the Provident Fund is to be recovered from 1.8.64 or from the date of receipt of the amount by the absorptionists.	Interest shall be recovered for the period from the actual date of receipt of the amount by the absorptionists instead of from 1.8.64.
8.	The authority will work on the interest and the mode of recovery in respect of cases where terminal benefits have already been authorised.	The authority which sanctions the terminal benefits shall work out the interest and the Chief Internal Audit Officer will recover the amount from Dearness Allowance of Pension.



2. The following are the rate of interest to be recovered for the period from the actual date of receipt of the amount by the absorptionist till the date of admittance of pension from the absorptionist:-

- |                                       |        |
|---------------------------------------|--------|
| (i) Beyond 3 months and upto one year | .. 7%  |
| (ii) Beyond one year                  | .. 10% |

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M.SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

\* \* \*

**Memorandum No.51647/O&M-I(2)/95-1, SECRETARIAT BRANCH, dated 6.9.95**

Sub: Establishment - Tamil Nadu Electricity Board - Technical Branch - **Redesignation of the office of the Superintending Engineer/Planning - I as Superintending Engineer/Planning - orders - Issued.**

- Ref: i. (Per.) B.P. (Ch.) No.125 (SB) dt. 24.5.95.  
ii. Memo.(P) No.40415/O&M-I(2)/95-1 dt. 5.7.95.  
iii. From Chief Engineer/Planning U.O.No.SE/Pig./EA/F.Misc./D.31/95 dt.27.7.95.

- - -

In view of the change of designation of the post of Superintending Engineer /Planning-II as Superintending Engineer/Independent Power Project ordered in the memo. second cited, the post of Superintending Engineer/Planning-I shall hereafter be called as "Superintending Engineer/Planning".

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

\* \* \*

**Memorandum No.52567/C1/95-1 SECRETARIAT BRANCH Dated 6.9.95.**

Sub: LABOUR - Tamil Nadu Electricity Board - Revision of work allocation, staff pattern, scales of pay and allowances - Orders - Amendment - Issued.

- Ref: (Per.) B.P.(FB) No.5 (Secretariat Branch) Dated 25.1.94.

- - -

The following amendment is issued to (Per.) B.P.(F.B.) No.5 (Secretariat Branch) dated 25.1.94:-

## AMENDMENT

In Schedule - I referred to in Regulation 3 of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Revised Scales of Pay (Workmen) Regulations, 1994 contained in (Permanent) B.P.(F.B) No.5 (Secretariat Branch) dated 25.1.94, after Sl.No.177, the following shall be inserted:-

Sl.No.	DESIGNATION	EXISTING SCALES OF PAY Rs.	REVISED SCALES OF PAY Rs.
177A	Pharmacist	Rs.1300-35-1405-45-2260	Rs.1350-45-1440-50-2440

2. The existing category of 'Pharmacist' in Sl.No.71 in the Schedule I to the B.P. cited along with the pre-revised pay scale of Rs.980-25-1140-30-1740 and the Revised Scale of Pay Rs.1050-30-1200-40-1840 shall be deleted.

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

\* \* \*

Endorsement No.57780/22/95-1, SECRETARIAT BRANCH, Dated 7.9.95.

Communicated for information.

M.SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

Encl- Copy of G.O.

- - -

COPY OF

## GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

### ABSTRACT

**LOANS AND ADVANCES** - Conveyance Advance - Irrespective of pay deciding eligibility - IAS/IPS/IFS Probationers eligible for New Car - second - hand Car 'exception' under Article 227 of Tamil Nadu Financial Code -Volume I - Orders - Issued.

FINANCE (SALARIES) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms.No.632

Date 27.7.1995  
Yuva, Aadi-11,  
Thiruvalluvar Aandu-2026.

Read:

1. G.O.Ms.No.719, Finance (Salaries) dated 13.10.93.
2. G.O.Ms.No.135, Finance (Salaries) dated 8-2-94.

3. From the Director of Treasuries and Accounts Letter Dis. 68429/94/L3, dated 14-7-94.
4. From the Accountant General DCM/II/12-12/94-95/124, dated 30.12.94.

- - -

### ORDER:

According to the exception mentioned under Article 227 of Tamil Nadu Financial Code, Volume-I, advance may be sanctioned to IAS/IFS/IPS probationers for the purchase of Motor car. Now Government have decided to sanction conveyance advance to IAS/IFS/IPS Probationers irrespective of their pay eligibility for the purchase of either new or second-hand motor car. Accordingly, Government direct that conveyance advance shall be sanctioned to IAS/IFS/IPS probationers irrespective of the pay eligibility for the purchase of second hand car/new car.

2. The following amendment is issued to Article 227 of Tamil Nadu Financial Code, Volume-I (corrected upto 31.7.1991.)

### AMENDMENT NO.4/95

Article 227

Page 299.

Substitute the following for the existing "Exception" under sub-rule (ii) and above "Note".

**Exception:** IAS/IPS/IFS Probationers irrespective of their pay eligibility may be sanctioned advances for the purchase of second hand motor car/new motor car.

(BY ORDER OF THE GOVERNOR)

N. NARAYANAN,  
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

\* \* \*

### AMENDMENT No.6/95.

Regulations - Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Service Regulations - Regulation 56 -Regulation of Suspension period - Payment of Cash Allowance - Amendment - Issued.

(Permanent) B.P.(Ch) No.221

(SECRETARIAT BRANCH)

Dated the 8th September 1995  
Avani 23, Yuva,  
Thiruvalluvar Aandu-2026.

Read:-

G.O.Ms.No.108 P&AR (FR.V) Dept., dt.4.4.95.

- - -

### PROCEEDINGS:

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 79(c) of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (Central Act 54 of 1948), the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board hereby makes the following amendments to the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Service Regulations:-

### **AMENDMENT**

In Regulation 56, in sub-regulation (1) after clause (C) the following clause shall be added, namely:-

"(d) Board employees under suspension shall not be eligible for cash allowance in lieu of surrender of official office Helper. The Board employees whose period of suspension is subsequently treated as duty shall

not also be eligible for such cash allowance for the said period and that they shall be eligible for such allowance only from the date of re-instatement into service after revocation of suspension."

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M.SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

\* \* \*

Memo.No.028030/104/IR3(2)/95-1 Adm.Br., Dated 11.9.1995.

Sub: Apex Level Joint Committee copies of the General orders- Instruction - Regarding

Ref: 1. B.P.Ms.(Ch) No.114, Adm.Branch, dt.28.2.86.  
2. Memo.No.028030/104/IR3(2)/ALJC/95-7, dt.26.6.95.

- - -

In the reference (1) cited, the Board has nominated the following Members representing the employees in the Apex Level Joint Committee.

1. Thiru S.C.Krishnan
2. Thiru S.Manikantan
3. Thiru R.Perumalsamy
4. Thiru V.Ramachandran
5. Thiru R.Premshaw

Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Workers  
Federation/ Madras-2.

2) The attention of the Chief Engineers and Superintending Engineers are invited to the reference second cited and requested to mark the copies of the General orders directly to the Members of the Apex Level Joint Committee in future.

S. SIVASUNDERLAL,  
CONVENOR/ALJC and DEPUTY CHIEF ENGINEER.

\* \* \*

Memoandum No.61444/O&M-I(2)/95-1, SECRETARIAT BRANCH, dated 13.9.1995.

Sub: Tamil Nadu Electricity Board - Thiru R.Venkataraman, Chief Engineer/Chairman's Office -  
Relief - Ordered.

Ref: 1. (Per) B.P.(FB) No.22, Secretariat Branch, dt.29.4.95.  
2. From Thiru R.Venkataraman, Chief Engineer/Chairman's Office letter dt.12.9.95.

. . .

In the Board's Proceedings cited, Thiru R.Venkataraman, Chief Engineer (Electrical) was re-employed as Chief Engineer/Chairman's office for a period of one year from 1.5.1995. His re-employment will expire on 30.4.96. In his letter cited, Thiru R.Venkataraman has requested to permit him to retire due to family circumstances and to relieve him immediately.

2. As requested by him, Thiru R.Venkataraman, Chief Engineer(Electrical) is permitted to retire and relieved of his duties as Chief Engineer/Chairman's office with effect from 13.9.95 A.N.

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

**Memo.No.54187-P3/94-5, SECRETARIAT BRANCH, Dated 14.9.95.**

**Sub:** Allowances - Travelling Allowance- Classification of Grades of employees for purpose of drawal of Travelling Allowance consequent on revision of scales of pay & Allowances to employees of the Board from 1.12.92 - Orders - Issued.

**Ref:** (Per.) B.P.(Ch.) No.161 (SB) dated 23.6.94.

1. The Tamil Nadu Electricity Board issued orders in (Per.) B.P.(Ch.) No.161 (SB) dated 23.6.94 modifying the classification of the employees for the purpose of drawal of Travelling Allowance claims consequent on revision of scales of Pay and Allowances to employees of the Board from 1.12.92.

2. Certain Superintending Engineers have raised clarification about non-inclusion of provision made for Grade II, employees in the basic pay group of Rs.2350-2999 and Grade III & IV employees in the basic pay group of Rs.950-1399 in Note (i) & (2) of the schedule appended to (Per.) B.P.(F.B.) No.8 (S.B.) dt.8.2.91 under which the rates of Daily Allowance are regulated.

3. It is hereby clarified as below:-

- (i) The employees in the revised basic pay group of Rs.2,535/- to Rs.3,299/- in Grade II will be allowed the rates of Daily Allowance mentioned in Note (1) of the Schedule appended to (Per.) B.P.(F.B.) No.8 Dated 8.2.91.
- (ii) The employees in the revised basic pay group of Rs.1,045/- to Rs.1,539/- in Grades III & IV will be allowed the rates of Daily Allowance mentioned in Note (2) of the schedule appended to (Per.) B.P.(FB) No.8 (SB) dated 8.2.91.

4. These orders shall take retrospective effect from 23.6.94. All pending Travelling Allowance claims, if any, shall be regulated with reference to the orders above. However, claims already settled shall not be reopened.

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

\* \* \*

**Letter No.057541/DP.6/A1/91-31, Adm.Br, Dated 16.9.1995.**

**Sub :-** Establishment - WP.No.16534/94 filed by Thiru K.Ramasamy, Assistant Engineer/Electrical Vs. Chief Engineer/Coimbatore - Judgment delivered - Further Instructions Issued - Reg.

**Ref:- i)** Copy of judgment in W.P.No.16534/94 dt.24.7.95.

**ii)** Thiru C.S.Krishnamurthy, Board's Standing Counsel letter dt.24.7.95.

I am to enclose a xerox copy of Judgment dt.24.7.95 as well as a xerox copy of Thiru C.S.Krishnamurthy, Board's Standing Counsel letter dt.24.7.95 for future guidance.

2) I request that the receipt of the letter may be acknowledged.

**Encl:-** 1 Copy of judgment.  
1 Copy of Standing Counsel letter.

OLNEY AARON,  
CHIEF ENGINEER/PERSONNEL.

Copy of Letter dated 24th July, 1995 of Thiru C.S.Krishnamoorthy, Standing Counsel/T.N.E.B., Office 6, Law Chambers, High Court Buildings, Madras-600 104, Residence: 94, Habibullah Road, "31-KALA FLATS", T.Nagar, Madras-600 017, Phone No.8259986 addressed to the Chairman, Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Madras.

Sub:- W.P.No.16534/94, Ramasamy Vs.C.E./D/Coimbatore.

In the above case, the petitioner was working as Assistant Engineer and he was convicted under the prevention of Corruption Act. Subsequently a show cause notice was issued for dismissal from service on the basis of conviction in a Criminal Court. The Petitioner was suspended from service and subsequently the suspension order was revoked.

According to the Petitioner, he has filed a criminal appeal and obtained stay and contended that till the disposal of appeal, no disciplinary action can be taken. The Petitioner filed the above W.P. and obtained stay of all further proceedings.

I have argued the matter and as per the recent Judgment of Supreme Court reported in AIR 1995 pendency of criminal appeal/revision and obtaining stay is not a bar in proceeding further in taking Departmental Action". The Learned Judge accepted my argument and dismissed the W.P. This is one of the important case law for the service matter of the Board and as per the above judgment we can straightaway dismiss an employee from service if he is convicted in a criminal case without waiting for the disposal of the Criminal Appeal/Revision.

This is for your kind information.

Sd/- C.S.Krishnamoorthy.

**COPY OF:-**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS.

Dated: 24.7.1995.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice JAYASIMHA BABU

Writ Petition No.16534 of 1994.

K. Ramaswami

Petitioner

/s/

1. The Chief Engineer (Personnel),  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,  
No.800, Anna Salai Madras-600 012.

2. The Superintending Engineer,  
Nilgiris Electricity Distribution Circle,  
T.N.E.B., Udthagamandalam-1.

3. The Superintending Engineer,  
Coimbatore Electricity Distribution Circle(North),  
T.N.E.B., Tatabad, Coimbatore-641 012.

Respondents

Mr.R.Gandhi, Senior Counsel ... For Petitioner

Mr.C.S.Krishnamoorthy ... For Respondents

**ORDER**

The petitioner is a employee of the first respondent-Board. His grievance in the Writ Petition is regarding an order of suspension, as also show cause notice by which he has been asked to show cause why he should not be dismissed from service on account of the petitioner, having been convicted by the Criminal Court in Criminal Case No.1 of 1991 on the file of Sub Divisional Magistrate, Coimbatore.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has filed a Criminal Appeal in C.A.401 of 1994 before this Court and in that appeal the sentence has been suspended by an order made on 26.7.1994. It is not in dispute that the conviction has not been suspended.

3. The order of suspension, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the respondent-Board was revoked during the pendency of this petition on 28.9.1994. That submission is placed on record.

4. As regards the show cause notice, this Writ Petition will have to be rejected as the petitioner will have ample opportunity to send his reply to the notice, wherein he may urge all the grounds, which in his view do not warrant the order of dismissal being made. Counsel submitted that the Officer, who issued the show cause notice is not competent to issue the same. It is open to the petitioner to raise that objection also in his reply.

5. That the employer can dismiss an employee on account of his conviction in the Criminal Court, if the conviction has not been suspended by the superior court is now settled law in view of the judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of Deputy Director of Collegiate Education (Administration), Madras -Vs- S.Nagoor Meera (A.I.R.1995 Supreme Court, 1364). In this case a notice has been given and the petitioner will have the opportunity to reply. While replying to the same he can state his reasons as to why the penalty of dismissal should not be enforced.

6. The Writ Petition, is, therefore, rejected. No costs.

24.7.1995.

Certified to be a true copy  
Dated this the 25th day of July, 1995.

Sd/- xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx  
for Sub-Assistant Registrar,  
P.A. Section.

\* \* \*

**Memorandum No.41325-SS2/95-1, VIGILANCE CELL dated 19.9.95.**

Sub: Departmental enquiries - Summoning and Examination of Private Witness and Complainants  
- Instructions - Issued.  
Ref: (1) BP.Ms.No.710,S.B., dated 31.12.80.  
(2) Per.B.P.(Ch) No.46, (SB), dated 2.3.95.  
(3) Board's Memo.No.4971-SS2/95 I, dt.27.2.95.

. . .

The following amendment is issued to Board's Memorandum third cited:-

### **AMENDMENT**

The words "on the same day" occurring in Para-2 of the Memorandum shall be deleted.

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

K. NATARAJAN,  
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE/Vlg.

\* \* \*

**Memo.No.72867-P3/94-4, (SB), Dated 19.9.95.**

Sub: Travelling Allowance Regulations - Travel in train by I Class - Bed Roll Charges collected separately - Reimbursement of - Clarification - Issued.

. . .

B.G. - 5 (SEP. '95)

The Officers in the Board while travelling in train by I Class have to pay bed roll charges extra as it an additional facility. Such extra payment when claimed for reimbursement were disallowed by Audit. It is considered that the claimant officer himself can bear the cost of additional facilities demanded of by him. The Railways have also notified that cost of bed rolls are included in the train fare for I Class A/C and II Class A/C Sleeper. Hence, the cost of bed rolls which is an additional facility has to be paid by the travelling officer himself and such claim is not entitled to be reimbursed.

**M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.**

\* \* \*

**Memorandum No. SE/PLG/EA/F.Misc./D.72/95, TECHNICAL BRANCH, dated 19.9.95.**

**Sub: Establishment - TNEB - Technical Branch - Redesignation of the Executive Engineer/System Studies and Executive Engineer/Data Bank under SE/Planning - Orders issued.**

**Ref: Secy.TNEB's U.O.No.51647/O&M-I(2)/95-2, dated the 7th Sep.'95.**

\* \* \*

The designations of the following Executive Engineers/Electrical, under the control of SE/Planning are changed as indicated below, taking into consideration of their scope of works dealt by them:

1. Executive Engineer/System Studies is redesignated as Executive Engineer/Master Plan I.
2. Executive Engineer/Data Bank is redesignated as Executive Engineer/Master Plan II.

**S.R.KRISHNAMURTHY,  
CHIEF ENGINEER/PLANNING.**

\* \* \*

**U.O.Note No.1478/Adm5(1)/Adm.Br./94-15, Adm. Br.,dt.20.9.95.**

**Sub: Judgment made in W.P.Nos.341, 478 and 1121 of 1995 filed by Thiru R.Mouthuvaraj and others - Publication in the TNEB Gazette.**

\* \* \*

Copy of Judgment passed in W.P.Nos.341, 478 and 1121 of 1995 filed by Thiru R.Mouthuvaraj and others may be sent to Personal Assistant/Tamil Development for publication in the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Gazette.  
Encl: Copy of Judgments.

**S. SHANKER RAO,  
PERSONNEL OFFICER/IMPLEMENTATION-II.**



Copy of**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**

Tuesday, the fourth day of July

One thousand nine hundred and ninety five

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE JAYASIMHA BABU

W.M.P.NOS.505, 710, 1742 and 1865 of 1995

in

W.P No.341, 478 and 1121 of 1995

R.Mouthuvaraj .. Petitioner in W.M.P.No.505/95  
(Petitioner in W.P.No.341/95 on the file of this Court).

Mohanasundaram .. Petitioner in W.M.P.No.710 and 1865 of 95  
(Petitioner in W.P.No.478/95 in do.)

K.Palanivel .. Petitioner in W.M.P.No.1742/95  
(Petitioner in W.P.No.1121/95 in do.)

**Vs.**

1. The Chief Engineer (Personnel),  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, .. Respondents in all the petitions  
800, Anna Salai, Madras - 600 002
2. The Superintending Engineer, .. Respondents in W.P.No.341, 478  
Workshop circle, Mettur Dam, and 1121 of 1995 in do.  
Salem District.

Petitions praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the respective affidavits filed with W.P.Nos.341, 478 and 1121 of 1995 on the file of the High Court, the High court will be pleased to stay the operation of the I) order in Memo.Nos.(i) 1478/Adm.5(1)/Adm.Br./94-3 and (ii) 1478/Adm.5(1)/Adm.Br./94-4 respectively dated 1.12.1994 of the first Respondent transferring the petitioner in each of the petition from Mettur Workshop to (i) Chidambaranar Electricity Distribution Circle, Tuticorin (ii) Ennore Thermal Power Station(WMP Nos.505 and 710 of 1995).

II. The impugned order of the first Respondent in Memo.No.1478/Adm.5(1)/Adm.Br./94-5, dated 1.12.1994 (WMP No.1742/95), respectively pending W.P.Nos.341, 478 and 1121 of 1995 on the file of the High Court, Madras. **W.M.P.No.1865/95**

Petition presented to this Court to direct the respondents to report the petitioner as Machineman in Mettur Workshop under the second Respondent.

ORDER: These petitions coming on for hearing in the presence of Mr.N.G.R.Prasad for M/s.D.Hariparanthaman, G.Purushothaman and V.Ajoy Khos, Advocate for the Petitioner in W.M.P.Nos.505, 710 and 1865 of 1995 of Mr.Vijayanarayan, Advocate for the petitioner in W.M.P.No.1742/95 and of Mr.R.Krishnamurthy, Advocate General for Mr.C.S.Krishnamoorthy, Advocates for the respondent in all the petitions, the Court made the following order:

"In view of the order made in the W.P.Nos.341, 478 and 1121 of 1995 on 4.7.1995, no further order is necessary in these petitions."

Sd/- S.Paramasivam  
Asst.Registrar (P),  
Sub Asst.Registrar (Statistics)

/ True Copy /

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the fourth day of July

One thousand nine hundred and ninety five

Present:

The Honourable Mr. Justice Jayasimha Babu

Writ Petition Nos. 341, 478 and 1121/95

R. Mouthuvaraj	...	Petitioner in W.P. No. 341/95
Mohana Sundaram	...	Petitioner in W.P. No. 478/95
K. Palanivel	...	Petitioner in W.P. No. 1121/95

Vs.

1. The Chief Engineer (Personnel),

Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Madras-2.

2. The Superintending Engineer, Mettur Workshop Circle,

Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Mettur Dam, Salem District.

... Respondents in all the petitions

Petitions under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the respective affidavits filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to issue Writs of Certiorari calling for the records from the first respondent in the impugned Memo. (1) No. 1478/Adm. 5(1)/Adm. Br./94-3 dated 1.12.1994 transferring the petitioner from Mettur workshop to Chidambaram Electricity Distribution circle, Tuticorin and quash the same (in W.P. No. 341/95);

(2) No. 1478/Adm. 5(1)/Adm. Br./94-4 dt. 1.12.1994 transferring the petitioner from Mettur Workshop to Ennore Thermal Power Station and quash the same (in W.P. No. 478/95);

and (3) No. 1478/Adm. 5(1)/Adm. Br./94-5 dated 1.12.1994, quash the same (in W.P. No. 1121/95) respectively.

ORDER: These Writ petitions coming on for orders as to admission on Thursday, the 23rd day of February, 1995 and Thursday, the 9th and Friday, the 17th day of March, 1995 upon perusing the petitions and the respective affidavits filed in support thereof and the counter affidavits filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Mr. N. G. R. Prasad for M/s. D. Hariparanthaman, G. Purushothaman and V. Ajoy Khose, Advocates for the petitioner in W.P. Nos. 341 and 478/95 and of Mr. Vijay Narayan, Advocate for the petitioner in W.P. No. 1121/95 and of Mr. R. Krishnamurthy Advocate General for Mr. C. S. Krishnamurthy, Advocate for the Respondents in all the petitions and having stood over for consideration till this day, the court made the following order:-

### COMMON ORDER

The petitioners in these petitions are the employees of the first respondent Board and they have challenged their respective orders of transfer made on 1.12.1994. The petitioner in W.P. No. 341/95 R. Mouthuvaraj, who is a Fitter-I Grade was transferred from Mettur Workshop to Chidambaram Electricity Distribution Circle, Tuticorin on administrative grounds in an existing vacancy. The petitioner in W.P. No. 478/95 Mohana Sundaram Machineman II Grade was transferred from Mettur Workshop circle and posted to Ennore Thermal Power Station on administrative grounds against an existing vacancy. The petitioner in W.P. 1121/95 Power Hammer Operator was transferred from Mettur Workshop circle to Hydro Project PUSHEP/Masinagudi along with the post on administrative grounds. All the petitioners have been relieved of their respective positions of Mettur Workshop Circle. The common case of the petitioners is that the impugned orders are violative of Cl. 28 of the Standing Orders of the Board which, according to them, provides for transfer of the employees from one circle to another only on promotion. Their further common case is that these orders of transfer have been issued in order to victimise the petitioners for their trade union activities.

2. The petitioner Mouthuvaraj has stated that he is the President of CITU union in Mettur Workshop circle. Mohanasundaram has stated that he is the President of INTUC union of Mettur Workshop circle. Palanivel has stated that he is a State General Council Member of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Accounts and Executive Staff Union, Mettur Workshop Circle.

3) The petitioner's case is that they had protested against the policy of the respondent-Board regarding the recruitment of contract workmen and the policy of not filling up a large number of vacancies at Mettur Workshop circle and it is on account of this trade union activity of the petitioners, that the impugned orders have been effected.

4. The petitioners have also alleged that as they have been transferred from manufacturing circle to distribution circle in which their skills as production workers cannot be utilised. Their rights under Art-21 are adversely affected in as much as their right to exercise their skill and have the satisfaction of being skilled workers is lost to them and that would amount depriving them of a part of their right to life guaranteed under Art-21. The right to exercise their skills is claimed to be a vested right and property which has been allegedly taken away by the impugned orders of transfer.

5. The respondents have filed counter affidavits denying the allegations of the petitioners. The stand of the respondent Board is that the transfers have been effected for administrative reasons as set out in the impugned orders of transfer and not on account of any trade union activities on the part of the petitioners and further that the respondents had no intention to victimise any of the petitioners. It has also been averred that the transfers ordered do not result in any monetary loss or loss of seniority to any of the petitioners. The counter affidavit has been sworn to by a responsible officer of the respondent-Board, the Superintending Engineer in the workshop circle of the Mettur Dam.

6. The allegation of victimisation has to be rejected as the petitioners cannot claim any immunity from transfer merely by reason of their being office bearers of the trade union. The fact that they had organised protests and agitations is not a ground from which intention to victimise the petitioners can be inferred. Apart from the general allegations that their trade union activities have led to their transfer, there is no other material from which it can be inferred that the transfers were effected with a view to victimise the petitioners.

7. Mr.N.G.R.Prasad and Mr.Vijay Narayan learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that even if it cannot be said that the Petitioners have been victimised, having regard to the clause 28 of the Standing Orders certified under the Industrial (Employment) Standing Orders Act, governing the workmen, the petitioners could not have been transferred outside their circle as that standing order provides for such transfer only when the workmen is promoted, and not otherwise. It was also submitted that the standing orders do not provide for transfer of the post and therefore, the petitioner in W.P.1121/95 could not have been transferred along with the post. Standing Order 28, it was submitted, is a part of the service conditions of the workmen of the Board even if that clause cannot be regarded as valid standing order, as that standing order has been referred to in the statutory regulation and by such reference has been incorporated in the regulations of the Board. That standing order, it is therefore, submitted, is enforceable whether as part of the certified standing orders which has statutory force or as part of the statutory service regulation framed by the Board under Sec.78 of the Electricity Supply Act.

8. Mr. R.Krishnamurthy learned Advocate General appearing for the respondents-Board submitted that the validity of standing order 28 on its interpretation also the Board's power to transfer its employees has been considered by this Court in numerous decisions and it has been uniformly held by the court that transfer is a general condition of service of the employees; that such transfers are to be effected for the administrative convenience of the Board; and that the Court does not sit in appeal nor call for details of administrative exigencies. It was also submitted that Cl.28 of the standing order, though it forms part of the certified standing orders is non-est as transfer is not one of the items for which the standing orders are required to be framed under the Industrial Employment standing orders Act and that it has been so held by their Court in a number of decision. He further submitted that Cl.28 which cannot be regarded as a valid standing order, cannot be elevated to the Status of a statutory regulation by reason of the reference in the regulation to the standing orders, as the reference made in the regulation to the standing orders, can only be to standing orders framed in accordance with law.

9. Learned counsel for respondents Board submitted that the provision regarding the transfer contained in the statutory regulations framed by the Board viz., Regulation 105 of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Service Regulations is applicable and the transfers having been effected in accordance with that regulation, there is no

infirmity in the impugned orders of transfer. The alternative submission was that the impugned transfer orders are not violative of standing order 28, should that provision be held to be valid.

10. It is advantageous to set out the Standing Order 28, as also the relevant regulations 105 and 112 before considering the authorities cited and the submissions made by the counsel:-

**Cl.28 of the Standing Orders for workers:**

"Every workman shall normally be liable to be transferred in the same category or grade therein only within the system or establishment, which is taken as the basis for maintaining the seniority list in the grade or category in which the workman is employed, subject to the condition that the pay and seniority of the workmen in the category or grade are not adversely affected by the transfer.

In respect of promotion to a higher post the workman may be liable to be transferred outside the system or establishment in which he is employed.

**Regulation 105: Postings and Transfers**

A member of a class of service may be required to serve in any post borne on the cadre of such class of service and in any place of duty as the exigencies of administration require.

All transfers and postings shall be made by the appointing authority or such other authority to whom powers have been delegated in this regard by the appointing authority.

Provided that any authority to whom the appointing authority is administratively subordinate will in respect of any post within the jurisdiction of the appointing authority also be competent to effect transfer and postings to a post within the jurisdiction aforesaid.

Postings and transfers of members of the class of service specified in Column I of Annexure III shall be made by the authority specified in the corresponding entry in Col.2 thereof.

**Regulation 112:** In respect of matters in these regulations for which there is provision also in the standing orders for the employees of the Board framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act 1948, the provision in the Standing orders shall prevail in regard to employees governed by the standing orders."

11. The decisions referred to by the learned counsel for the parties in support of their respective submissions as also the recent decisions of the apex court on transfer may now be noticed.

12. In the case of *B.Varada Rao V. State of Karnataka and others* (1986 II LLJ 516) the Supreme Court held: "It is well understood that transfer of Government servant who is appointed to a particular cadre of transferable posts from one place to another is an ordinary incident of service and therefore does not result in any alternation of any of the conditions of service to his disadvantage. The Court rejected the plea of the petitioner therein that he should not be transferred. The Court thereafter observed that frequent, unscheduled and unreasonable transfers which can upset the family cause irreparable harm to a Government servant and drive him to desperation should be deprecated.

13. In the case of *M.Syed Ali V.The General Superintendent, Ennore Thermal Power Station Madras-57 and others* (W.P.No.4214/83 decided on 27.6.1983) Mohan, J as he then was, while dealing with the challenge to an order of transfer of a workman from the Ennore Thermal Station, and referring to the Standing Order 17 dealing with the Clerical Staff of the Board which is similar to standing order 28 for the workmen, held that there is absolutely no bar for transfer from Ennore Thermal Power Station to another circle. "What is stated in the Standing Order noted above is about the normal liability to transfer, that does not mean if there are some administrative exigencies, the petitioner cannot be transferred. There is no loss of emoluments. There is no loss of status. Therefore, it does not amount to reduction of rank either". The standing order 17, is almost identical to standing order 28, relied on by the petitioners in these cases.

14. In W.P.No.5716, 5781 and 5869/1984 (*Central Organisation of Tamil Nadu Electricity Workers rep.by its General Secretary, Madras-14 and others V Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Madras-2 and others*) decided on 7.7.1994 Nalin Sundaram, J as he then was, after considering regulation 105, which deals with posting and transfer, of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Service Regulations; Clause 17 of the Standing Orders relating to workman engaged in the clerical grades of the respondent Board; and Cl.28 of the Standing order relating to other workman; held that these two Standing orders do not come within the masters which are required to be provided for in the standing orders framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing orders) Act as per the Schedule thereto, coming to that conclusion, this Court relied on the decision of the Supreme Court in the case

of workmen of Lakheri Cement Works W.A.C.C.Ltd.(1970(38) FJR 342) and held that these two clauses in the Standing orders were nullity in the eye of law.

15. In the case of Lakheri Cement Works, the Supreme Court, after referring to its earlier pronouncement in Rohtak and Hissar Districts Electric Supply Co. Ltd. V.State of U.P.(1965 (29)FJR 76), observed as follows:

"In view of the pronouncement of this Court, it is quite clear that the extension of the Standing Orders to the two topics was entirely without jurisdiction and the Standing orders could not therefore be framed. It may however be mentioned that this point does not seem to have been taken either before the Certifying Officer or before the appellate authority. But even so, any action without jurisdiction would be a complete nullity as this Court has already stated in the passage quoted above."

16. Nainar Sundaram, J held that "when the regulations referred to "standing orders framed under the Act", it would not have meant anything less than a Standing Order, framed in accordance with the Act. Without taking note of the implications staring in one's face if the relevant clauses are still left in the standing orders, these are there in the books as a still born child not to be taken note of for the purpose of governing the relationship of employer and employee. Regulation 112 states that when there is provision in the Standing Orders framed under the Act, it shall prevail in regard to the employees governed by the Standing orders. Hence, the very purpose of any provision getting incorporated in the Standing orders is to make it prevail in regard to the employees governed by the Standing orders. An ineffectual clause, which is non-est in the eye of law, continuing in the books of Standing orders cannot definitely prevail with regard to the relationship of employer and employee over the regulations."

17. With regard to power to transfer under Regulation 105, it was observed: "Exigencies of Administration" are not capable of rigid definition to be put in any iron jacketed formula in the shape of guidelines or instructions to govern all times to come. "Administration" with reference to State or any other statutory body will take in broad and wide areas of its activities in which the repositories of power may have to function. Administration takes in the power to manage the various activities for which the State or the statutory body functions, to give the best result expected of it. The persons in charge of the administration are the best suited to assess the exigencies of such administration. Such exigencies cannot be uniform and static for all times to come".

18. That decision rendered by Nainar Sundaram, J was challenged by the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Accounts and Executive Staff Union in W.A.No.851 of 1984 unsuccessfully that appeal having been dismissed on 9.8.1984 at the stage of admission.

19. In several subsequent decisions rendered by this Court, the decision rendered by Nainar Sundaram, J does not appear to have been noticed. This Court, in other cases, however even with reference to Cl.28 and on the assumption that it is valid clause has rejected to petitions of the workmen who had challenged the orders of transfer.

20. In the case of T.Raghavan and another V.The Chairman TamilNadu Electricity Board, Madras-2 and others (W.P.Nos.5542 and 5543/1984 - decided on 7.11.1984) M.A. Sathar Sayeed, J repelled the argument that each system is self contained and that transfer cannot be effected outside the system except or promotion. The Court held that the Board is entitled to transfer any person if the transfer is necessitated by administrative reasons.

21. In the case of P.Pakkiri and others V. The Chief Engineer Personnel, Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Madras and another (W.P.Nos.1144 to 1146 of 1985) decided on 19.4.1985 S.Natarajan, J as he then was after referring to Cl.28 of the Standing order, held that the contention advanced on the basis of the standing order were not sustainable and further observed that:

"In the first place it has been pointed out that the purpose of this Standing order is to see that by reason of transfer a workman does not lose his seniority, in the unit to which he belongs. It is to safeguard the workman against the loss of seniority, this standing order has been framed. This inference can be easily drawn from the fact that the standing order does not impose a total ban of transfers of workmen from one unit to another. On the other hand, the standing order contains only a guideline which has to be followed as far as practicable ..... Therefore, the main purpose of the standing order is to ensure the maintenance of the seniority of the workmen in the grade or category in which he is employed and for ensuring pay protection as well."

22. In the case of Chief Engineer (Personnel) TNEB Madras V.K.Raman (1985 1 LLJ 154) a Bench of this Court elaborately examined the scope of the power of the Court with regard to transfers. Mohan and Sathiadav JJ who constituted the Bench held that transfer is an incident of service and that the authority cannot be called upon to explain to the Court what the exigency is. However, the situation will be different where the order of

transfer is actuated by malafides. It was also observed that transfer is not one of the punishments contemplated under the Rules by resorting to disciplinary proceedings. The Court further observed that it is worthwhile, to remember that no employee has a right to work in a particular place.

23. The power of the Board to effect transfer of its employees, again came up for consideration before a Division Bench of this Court consisting of S.Mohan, O.C.J. and K.Venkatasamy J as they were then, in the case of the Superintending Engineer, South Arcot Electricity System/North Villupuram, S.A.District and another Vs.P.Chakkrapani.(W.A.728/1988 -Decided on 14.2.89 - 1989 TNLJ 83) The Court in the course of the Judgement observed:

"It is axiomatic that transfer is a necessary concomitance of every service and such an order of transfer need not necessarily be passed on the balance of convenience and an order of transfer is passed purely on administrative exigencies. It is also well settled that courts do not exercise supervisory control over orders of transfer for the very simple reason, as stated earlier, it is a necessary concomitance of every service. This is what the various High Courts in India including ours and the Supreme Court have consistently laid down. The only ground on which such an order of transfer could be interfered which is the ground of malafides and nothing else." It was further observed: "Transfers some times may be benign and some times may be irksome or most inconvenient. This Court cannot exercise supervisory control over such transfer orders and call upon the authority concerned to justify the transfer when it is stated to be on administrative grounds. Of course, it is a different matter if a transfer is effected on malafide grounds." The Court while concluding made the following observations: "we have already stated that no transfer is ordered without some basis. Where for lack of proper evidence or for some other reason disciplinary action against certain erring officer could not be taken, the least that the administrative head could do will be to effect a transfer of the concerned employee in the hope that the transfer though inconvenient might give an oblique warning to the officers transferred that he should turn a new leaf or atleast show some improvement without giving room for any complaint."

24. In the case of M.Bomman and others V.Tamil Nadu Electricity Board rep. by its Chief Engineer/Personnel Madras.2 (1992 Writ L.R.852) AR.Lakshmanan, J after considering the case of the petitioners based on Cl.17 and 28 of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board standing orders, as also the allegations of the malafides, held that the allegation of malafides were not made out on the facts of the case. It was further observed that only in cases where order of transfer is found to be malafide, or in cases where such orders are in colourable exercise of power, the orders would become wholly illegal and void. Transferring a person because he is troublesome or trouble maker would be in the interest of administration. Such transfers cannot be characterised as punitive. The transfer of the Petitioner therein outside the circle in which they were employed was held to be within the competence of the authorities.

25. In the case of Union of India Vs.S.L.Abbas (1993 (4) SCC 357) while dealing with an appeal filed against the decision of the Administrative Tribunal, striking down an order of transfer, the Supreme Court pointed out the limited scope of enquiry by the Court. At para 7 of the Judgment, the Court observed: "who should be transferred where is a matter for the appropriate authority to decide. Unless the order of transfer is vitiated by malafides or is made in violation of any statutory provisions, the Court cannot interfere with it." As regards the enforceability of the guidelines formulated by the employer regarding the transfer, it was observed that such guidelines however do not confer upon the Government employee a legally enforceable right.

26. This position of law with regard to transfers has been reiterated by the Supreme Court in its recent decision in the case of N.K.Singh vs. Union of India and others (JT 1994 (5) SC 298) wherein the Court observed: "No roving inquiry into the matter is called for or justified within the scope of judicial review of transfer scrutinised with reference to the private rights of an individual". It was further held at para 23 of the Judgment "Transfer of a Government servant in a transferable service is a necessary incident of the service career. Assessment of the quality of men is to be made by the superiors taking into account several factors including suitability of the person for a particular post and exigencies of administration. Several imperponderables requiring formation of a subjective opinion in that sphere may be involved, at times. The only realistic approach is to leave it to the wisdom of the hierarchical superiors to make that decision. Unless the decision is vitiated by malafides or infraction of any professed norm or principle governing the transfer, which alone can be scrutinised judicially, there are no judicially manageable standard for scrutinising all transfers and courts lack the necessary expertise for personnel management of all government departments. This must be left, in public interest, to the departmental heads subject to the limited judicial scrutiny indicated."

27. That an order of transfer should not be interfered with except on compelling grounds was reiterated by the apex court in the case of Chief General Manager (Telecom) vs. Rajinder Ch. Battarcharee (1965 (2) SCC 532). The Court at para 8 of the Judgment observed: "The transfer of a public servant made on administrative grounds or in public interest should not be interfered with unless there are strong and compelling grounds rendering the transfer order improper and nonjustifiable."

28. Administrative grounds on which a transfer is effect is not a matter for judicial review. The Supreme Court in the case of State of M.P. and others Vs. S.S. Koubir and others (1995 (3) SCC 270) has ruled that: "The Courts or Tribunals are not appellate forums to decide on transfers of officers on administrative grounds. The Wheels of administration should be allowed to run smoothly and the courts or tribunals are not expected to interdict the working of the administrative system, by transferring the officers to proper places. It is for the administration to take appropriate decisions and such decision shall stand unless vitiated by malafides or extraneous consideration without any factual background foundation."

29. Learned counsel for the petitioners referred to the decision of Karnataka High Court in the case of Shanmugham Vs. Mysore Minerals Limited and another (1983 1 LLN 653) in support of his submission that notwithstanding the fact that the transfer is not one of the items for which provision is required to be made in the standing orders framed and certified under the Standing Orders Act, the provision in relation to transfer would still be binding. That decision does not support the submission so made. It was held therein that power of transfer of an employee from one place to another is an inherent managerial power and is an incident of service. Transfer of an employee from one department to another or from one place to another is part of the managerial powers of the employer, provided the terms and conditions of service are not affected." Thus the power of the employer to effect transfer of the employee, denore the standing orders, was upheld.

30. Reliance was placed by learned counsel for the petitioners on the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of Krishna Chandra Gangopadhaya and others V. The Union of India and others (1975 (2) SCC 302) in support of the submission that if the authority competent to frame a regulation frames a regulation incorporating therein by reference another rule or regulation which, when made, was ineffective for want of requisite legislative competence, then the rule or regulation so incorporated would become valid and effective. The Supreme Court in that judgment held that if Parliament has power to legislate on a topic it can make an Act on the topic by any drafting means, including by referential legislation. The Court was dealing with the validity of a validating Act enacted by Parliament by which the provisions of a State Act which had been held to be invalid by the Supreme Court, were declared by the Validating Act to be deemed always to have been valid as if the same had been enacted by Parliament. The Principle enunciated in that case is not attracted here as Cl. 28 of the Standing Orders dealing with transfer has not been incorporated into the Statutory Regulations framed by the Respondent Board. What is provided in the Regulation 112 is that to the extent the Standing Orders have made provisions for matters dealt with in the Regulation, such Standing Orders would prevail in respect of the workmen governed by such Standing Orders. The reference to the Standing Orders in the regulation is only to the Standing Order validly made in accordance with the Standing Orders Act and cannot be stretched to include a provision which cannot be regarded as a standing order for the purpose of Industrial (Employment) Standing Orders Act.

31. Mr. N.G.R. Prasad, learned counsel for petitioners then referred to the English case of Langaton Vs. AUEW (1974 (1) WLR 185, and the comments of Lord Denning on that decision at pages 188-189 of his Book "The Discipline of the law." That case arose under the U.K. Industrial Relations Act 1971 and the question before the Court was as to whether the employer was guilty of breach of contract in suspending on full wages a Workman who had objected to the 'closed shop' and declined to join the Union, as the other workmen who were members of the Union, had strongly and violently objected to his presence in the factory. The Court held that the terms of employment were breached though full wages were paid, as it was an implied condition of the employer to provide work, and when the other workmen were threatening to walk out unless a man is turned off the job, they threaten to induce a breach of the contract. That case was argued in person by the affected employee. The argument that could have been put forth by that workman was stated, in the following words, by Lord Denning, who however did not express any decided view thereon:

"In these days an employer, when employing a skilled man is bound to provide him with work. By which I mean that the man should be given the opportunity of doing his work when it is available, and he is ready and willing to do it. A skilled man takes pride in his work. He does not do it merely to earn money. To use his skill and to improve it. To have the satisfaction which comes of a task well done."

B.G. - 6 (Sep. '95)

32. The citizens of this country would devoutly wish that all self employed persons, employers, as well as employees of all categories whether employed in public or private enterprises, take pride in performing their assigned tasks conscientiously and well and at all times seek the satisfaction that comes of a task well done. The pace of progress and development in the country would then accelerate many fold, and the inefficiency, indiscipline, lack of competence and low productivity now evident in many fields would become a thing of the past.

33. The possible argument stated by the Denning L.J. without deciding the same, now adopted as a submission for the petitioner does not arise for consideration on the facts of these cases, as petitioners have not been deprived of their right to work but are only required to perform work elsewhere. Petitioners have no vested right in being assigned a particular type of work. Petitioners are liable to be deployed at such places and in such tasks as are performed by persons in that general category of employees to which petitioners belong, and as is considered appropriate in the interest of Administration. The 'skill' referred to in the possible argument set out in the aforementioned decision is only the general capacity of the employee to perform work and not any particular specialised task. Any other view would be adverse to the long term interests of the workmen themselves as it would deny them the opportunity to learn new skills, and gain experience, during and in the course of their employment. The argument based on Act 21 is far fetched. There can be no doubt that the employer and the employee gain mutually when there is job satisfaction for the employee and that it should be the endeavour of all employers to maximise such job satisfaction as it would not only result in better employee morale, but also higher productivity. The employee however is not deprived of his right to life, when he is required to perform a type of work different from the kind he was engaged in, when the employee has or is required to have the capacity to perform such work.

34. Transfer of a post from one location to another is not illegal unless such transfer of post is prohibited by law. Petitioners have not been able to show any rule or regulation prohibiting the transfer of posts. When an employee is transferred he must have a post to occupy and transferring the employee along with the post does not violate any principle.

35. Transfer when it is an incident of service and is not effected mala fide or in breach of any binding rule, cannot be judicially reviewed. The timing, the place of posting, the nature of work assigned, the specific administrative reason promoting the transfer are all matters within the jurisdiction of those in charge of the administration. The court does not sit in appeal over orders of transfer.

36. The impugned orders of transfer cannot be said to have been made mala fide merely because petitioners hold offices in that respective trade unions and had in the past agitated against the policies of their employer. The applicable rules and regulations do not confer any immunity from transfer on the office bearers or active members of the Union.

37. The transfer effected does not violate any rule or regulation. Regulation 105 expressly authorises transfers. It provides that a member of a class of service may be required to serve in any post borne on the cadre of such class of service and in any place of duty in the exigencies of administration. This regulation made under S.79 of the Electricity (Supply) Act is a valid regulation which binds the Board as also its employees. The petitioners transfer is in accordance with the said regulations. The respondents have avowed that transfer was effected for administrative reasons. It is not for this Court to enter into a roving enquiry to ascertain the precise nature of the administrative exigency and judicially review the same. It is not in dispute that transfer has been effected by an authority who is competent to effect such transfers.

38. Regulation 28 of the Standing Order relied on by petitioners being a regulation which has been certified without jurisdiction to do so, by the certifying officer under the Industrial Employment Standing Orders Act, that regulation is non est as held by Nairn Sundaram, J in W.P.5716 of 1984 and an appeal against which decision was rejected by the Division Bench at the stage of admission. I am in respectful agreement with the reasoning and conclusions reached in the aforesaid decision.

39. The impugned orders of transfer even if tested at the assumption that regulation 28 is valid, they cannot be regarded as having been made in violation of the requirements of Cl.28. That Clause does not prohibit transfer. It only provides that transfer when effected should not result in loss of pay or seniority and that normally such transfers are to be effected within the system unless it is case of transfer on promotion. Petitioners' pay or seniority is not affected adversely by the impugned transfers. As to whether petitioners should be retained in the system or transferred outside is a matter for decision by the respondent-Board and not the Court.



40. Petitioners have been transferred to posts borne on the grade or service to which they belong. It is not necessary to allot them the same kind of work that was being performed by them earlier, so long as the work allotted is of a nature required to be or is being performed by members of their cadre.

41. There is no merit in these petitions. The petitions are dismissed without any order as to costs.

Sd/- S.Paramashvam  
Asst.Registrar (P)

/ True copy/-

(sd.) XXXXXXXXXXXXX  
Sub Asst.Registrar(Statistics)

Dated:4.7.95.

ORDER

W.P.Nos.341,478 and 1121/1995

Dismissing these petitions to issue  
writs of certiorari as stated within.

\* \* \*

**Imprest-Enhancement of Imprest of Medical Officers of Tamil Nadu Electricity Board - Orders - Issued.**

(Permanent)B.P. (FB)No.70

SecretariatBranch,

Dated the21st September 1995.

Purattasi 4, Yuwa,

Thiruvalluvar Aandu 2026.

Read:

1. (Per) B.P. (Ch) No.284, Adm.Br. dt.9.12.91.
2. From the Chief Medical Officer/Tamil Nadu Electricity Board F.No.973/CMO/TNEB/HQH/A2/94 dt.12.5.94.
3. Memo.(Per) No.30024/O&M Cell-I(4)/91-12 dt.22.8.94.
4. From the Chief Engineer/Hydro and Gas Turbine Letter No.CE/H&GT/PA/A3/S/93-2 dt.24.12.94.

**PROCEEDINGS:**

The Tamil Nadu Electricity Board hereby directs that the imprest holding of the Medical Officers working in the Board's dispensaries be enhanced to Rs.2000/- (Rupees two thousand only) and their powers to pass order payment under imprest also be enhanced to Rs.200/- (Rupees two hundred only) per voucher.

2. The Impreset holding limit of the Chief Medical Officer Headquarters Dispensary which had been enhanced to Rs.5000/-in (Per) B.P.(Ch.) No.284, Adm.Branch dt.9.12.91 with powers to pass order payment under imprest on par with Superintending Engineers and the action of the then Chief Engineer/Hydro Generation in having enhanced the imprest of the Medical Officers under his control at Servalar Dispensary from Rs.300/- to Rs.1000/- are also ratified.

(BY ORDER OF THE BOARD)

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

\* \* \*

தமிழ் ஆட்சிமொழிச் செயலாக்க நடவடிக்கை எண். 7/95.

சுற்றறிக்கை எண்.103587/374/தவ/நிதி/95— 1, நிர்வாகக் கிளை நான் : 21.9.95

பொருள்: தமிழ் ஆட்சிமொழிச் செயலாக்கம் — அலுவலக நடைமுறை மாதிரி குறிப்புகள்/குறிப்பாணைகள்/படிவங்கள் — தமிழாக்கம் செய்து அளித்தல் — தொடர்பாக.

பார்வை : 1. 5.8.91 நாளிட்ட சுற்றறிக்கை எண். 05154/340/தவ/நிதி/89— 6.

2. 20.7.95 நாளிட்ட சுற்றறிக்கை எண்.077088/306/தவ/நிதி/95— 1.

தமிழ் ஆட்சிமொழிச் செயலாக்கத்தை வாரியத்தில் விரிவுபடுத்தும் நடவடிக்கைகளின் தொடர்ச்சியாகக் கீழ்க்கண்ட ஆங்கிலப் படிவங்கள் / மாதிரிகள் தமிழாக்கம் செய்து அளிக்கப்படுகின்றது.

#### 1. Note File

Sub: M.R.I.Claim in excess of one month pay plus D.A., — Preferred by Thiru/Tmt.

..... Sanction - Reg.

குறிப்புக் கோப்பு

பொருள்: ஊதியம் மற்றும் அகவிலைப்பட்டியுடன் கூடிய ஒரு மாதாந்திர ஊதியத்திற்கும் மேலாக மருத்துவச் செலவைத் திரும்பப் பெறுவதற்கான கோரிக்கை — திரு/திருமதி/செல்வி ..... யினால் முன் வைக்கப்பட்டதனை ஒப்பளித்தல் — தொடர்பாக.

#### 2. Draft for approval

Memo.....

Sub: Estt. - Medical Reimbursement claim of Thiru/Tmt./Selvi ..... returned -Reg.

வரைவு ஒப்புதல்.

குறிப்பாணை .....

பொருள்: பணித் தொகுதி—திரு/திருமதி/செல்வி ..... மருத்துவச் செலவினைத் திரும்பப் பெறுவதற்கான கோரிக்கை — திருப்பியனுப்புதல் — தொடர்பாக.

#### 3. Form of Application to apply for the Educational Advance to the Employees of the T.N.E.B. for the Education of their children.

தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரியப் பணியாளர்களின் குழந்தைகளின் கல்விச் செலவுக்கென முன்பணம் பெறுவதற்காக மின் வாரியப் பணியாளர்களால் அளிக்கப்பட வேண்டிய விண்ணப்பப் படிவம்.

#### 4. Memo .....

Sub: Estt. - Class III Service - Thiru .... Tour outside the jurisdiction - Approval - Accorded - Reg.

குறிப்பாணை .....

பொருள்: பணித்தொகுதி — .....ஆம் நிலைப் பணியமைப்பு — திரு ..... அதிகார எல்லைக்கு அப்பாற்பட்ட பயணம் — இசைவு அளித்தல் — குறித்து.

#### 5. Draft for approval

Office Order :

Sub : Estt. - Class .... Service - Thiru .... Additional Charge of the post of Additional charge allowance - sanction — Accorded.

வரைவு ஒப்புதல்

அலுவலக ஆணை:

பொருள்: பணித் தொகுதி— ..... நிலைப் பணியமைப்பு— திரு ..... பதவியில் கூடுதல் பொறுப்பு— ..... ஒப்பளிப்பு— ..... வழங்கப்படுகின்றது.

**6. Note:**

Sub: Estt. - Class .. Service - Thiru.. Retention of Board's Quarters - Approval Requested - Reg. - குறிப்பு

பொருள் : பணித்தொகுதி - ... நிலைப் பணியமைப்பு - திரு..... வாரிய குடியிருப்பினை நிறுத்தி வைத்திருத்தல் - இவரது குறிப்பு.

**7. Draft for approval :**

Memo :

Sub: Estt. - Thiru .... - Retention of Board's Quarters for ..... days from ... to .... - permission - issued...

வரைவு ஒப்புதல்.

குறிப்புகள் :

பொருள் : பணித்தொகுதி - திரு ..... வாரிய குடியிருப்பை ..... விடுத்து .....நாளன்று வரை நிறுத்தி வைத்துக் கொள்ள அனுமதி - அளிக்கப்படுகின்றது.

மேற்கண்ட ஆங்கிலப் பகுதிகளின் தமிழாக்கங்களே இனிவரும் நேர்வுகளில் அலுவலக நடைமுறைகளில் பயன்படுத்த வேண்டுமென அனைத்து அலுவலர்கள் / பணியாளர்கள் கேட்டுக் கொள்ளப்படுகின்றனர்.

மேலும், இச்சுற்றறிக்கையினைப் பெற்றுக் கொண்டதற்குரிய ஒப்புதல் மற்றும் அதன்மீது மேற்கொண்ட தொடர் நடவடிக்கை குறித்த தகவல் ஆகியவற்றை உடனடியாக இவ்வலுவலகத்திற்கு அனுப்பி வைக்க வேண்டுமென அனைத்து அலுவலர்களும் கேட்டுக் கொள்ளப்படுகின்றனர்.

இணைப்பு:-

சூப்பிரீம் கோர்ட்,  
தலைமைப் பொறியாளர் (பணி அமைப்பு).

C. No.

**T. N. E. B.**

Sub : M. R. I. claim in excess of one month pay plus D.A. - preferred by Thiru/Tmtty .....  
Sanction - Reg.

Ref :- 1. From Thiru/Tmtty.

- - -

Thiru/Tmtty.....  
of ..... has preferred M.R.I. claim of Rs. .... vide referenced cited above.

2) During the financial year ..... a sum of Rs. .... towards medical treatment was sanctioned and paid to him. The maximum ceiling limit for availing M.R.I. per year will be one month's Basic Pay plus D. A.

3) One month's pay plus D.A. to Thiru/Tmtty/..... As such, if the present claim of Rs. .... is admitted, it will exceed the maximum ceiling limit.

4) However, as per orders in B.P.Ms.(C) No. 21, (Sectt.Br.) dated 21.1.84, the excess M.R.I. claim over and above one month's pay plus D.A. preferred by the employee shall be admitted only when the Essentiality Certificate is signed by the Civil Surgeon and the Sanctioning Authority is satisfied himself about the genuineness of the claim.

5) As the medical reimbursement claim preferred by Thiru/Tmtty..... has been signed by Dr..... it is for orders whether the medical reimbursement claim of Rs. .... may be admitted.

6) For orders please.

கு. கோ. எண்.

பொருள் : ஊதியம் மற்றும் அகவிலைப்பட்டியுடன் கூடிய ஒரு மாதத்திய ஊதியத்திற்கும் மேலாக மருத்துவச் செலவைத் திரும்பப் பெறுவதற்கான கோரிக்கை திருத்திருமதி/செல்வி..... யினால் முன் வைக்கப்பட்டதனை ஒப்பளித்தல் தொடர்பாக.

பார்வை : திருத்திருமதி/செல்வி ..... யிடமிருந்து பெறப்பட்ட கோரிக்கை.

திருத்திருமதி/செல்வி ..... அவர்களால் பார்வையில் கண்டுள்ளப்படி ரூ. .... மருத்துவச் செலவைத் திரும்பப் பெறுவதற்கு கோரிக்கை வைத்துள்ளார்கள்.

2. நடப்பு நிதியாண்டாகிய..... ல் ரூ. .... மருத்துவச் செலவுக்கென ஒப்புதல் அளிக்கப்பட்டு இவருக்கு வழங்கப்பட்டுள்ளது. அகவிலைப்பட்டியுடன் கூடிய ஒரு மாதத்தின் அடிப்படை ஊதியமே ஒரு ஆண்டின் மருத்துவச் செலவைத் திரும்பப் பெறுவதற்கான அதிகப்படியான உச்ச வரம்பாகும்.

3. திருத்திருமதி..... க்கு ஒரு மாதத்தின் அகவிலைப்பட்டியுடன் கூடிய அடிப்படைச் சம்பளத்துடன் சேர்த்து மொத்தத் தொகை ரூ..... ஆகும். தற்பொழுது கோரப்படும் ரூ..... யை அனுமதித்தால், அது அதிகப்படியான உச்ச வரம்பிற்கும் அதிகமானதாக இருக்கும்.

4. இருப்பினும் 21.1.84 நாளிட்ட வாரிய நிலையானை, பல்வகை (தலைவர்) எண்.21(வாரியக்கிளை)யின் படி ஒரு மாதத்தின் அகவிலைப்பட்டி மற்றும் அடிப்படை ஊதியத்துடன் கூடிய மொத்த ஊதியத்திற்கு அதிகமாக மருத்துவச் செலவினைத் திரும்பப் பெறுவதற்கான பணியாளர்களின் கோரிக்கைக்கு அனுமதி வழங்க வேண்டுமெனில் இன்றியமையாத தன்மைக்கான சான்றிதழில் (எசன்ஷியாலிடி சர்டிபிகேட்) சிவில் சர்ஜனின் கையொப்பம் இடப்பட்டு இருந்தால் மட்டுமே ஏற்றுக் கொள்ளப்பட வேண்டும். மேலும் ஒப்புதல் வழங்கும் அலுவலருக்கு மனநிறைவு அளிக்கும் வகையில் இக்கோரிக்கை உண்மையானதாக இருத்தல் வேண்டும்.

5. திருத்திருமதி ..... அவர்களால் அனுப்பப்பட்டுள்ள மருத்துவச் செலவைத் திரும்பப் பெறுவதற்கான கோரிக்கை மருத்துவரினால் கையொப்பமிடப்பட்டுள்ளது. ஆகவே, மருத்துவச் செலவை திரும்பப் பெறுவதற்காகக் கோரப்பட்டுள்ள தொகையான ரூ. .... ஐ அனுமதிக்கலாமா?

6. உத்தரவிற்காக வைக்கப்படுகின்றது.

**(Draft for approval)**

Memo.....

**Sub:** Estt. - Medical reimbursement claim of Thiru/Tmt/Selvi .....  
returned - Reg.

**Ref:** His/Her Medical Reimbursement claim dt. ....

- - -

The Medical reimbursement bill submitted by Thiru/Tmt/Selvi .....  
is returned herewith for the following reasons, stated in Item No. .... below:-

1. Doctor to sign in the Cash Bill/Essentiality Certificate.
2. Annexure form to be filled up properly.
3. Name of the medicines furnished in the Essentiality certificate differ from the Cash bill.
4. Total amount furnished in the Essentiality Certificate differ with that of the Cash Bills.
5. Board Servant's signature not available in the Annexure form.
6. Essentiality Certificate form should be filled up correctly. Correction made in the Essentiality certificate is to be attested by the Authorised Medical Attendant.
7. Correction made in the Cash Bill is to be attested by the Shop Keeper with Seal.
8. Hospital Seal with date should be affixed in the Essentiality Certificate.
9. Date of Cash Bill is pre/post dated to the period of treatment furnished in the Essentiality Certificate.
10. Quantity of medicine to be furnished in the Essentiality Certificate.
11. Period of treatment, may be clearly written in the Essentiality Certificate with the attestation of the Authorised Medical Attendant.
12. The Doctor's signature/Seal are not available in the Essentiality Certificates/Cash Bills.
13. Column No. .... of annexure is not filled up properly.
14. The Shop-keeper's signature is not available in the Cash Bill for having received cash in full.
15. The patient name with relationship has not been furnished in the Essentiality Certificate/Cash Bills.
16. The prescription has not been produced for the medicines purchased.
17. The correction in the Essentiality Certificate/Cash bill/Prescription is not attested by the Doctor/Authorised Medical Attendant/Shop-keeper.
18. Name of the medicine is incompletely/wrongly written in the Essentiality Certificate.
19. Dosage is not furnished in the Doctor's Prescription.
20. The medical reimbursement claim preferred under cash memo. ....  
is overlapped, since he/she has already preferred medical claim for the period from .....  
to ..... for Rs. ....
21. The name of the Doctor has to be furnished in the Cash Bills.

To

Thiru /Tmt/Selvi.

through

(w.e.)

வரைவு ஒப்புதல்

## தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரியம்

குறிப்பானை எண்.....

நாள்.....

பொருள்: பணித்தொகுதி — திருத்திரும்பி/செல்வி..... மருத்துவச் செலவினைத் திரும்பப் பெறுவதற்கான கோரிக்கை — திருப்பியனுப்புதல் — தொடர்பாக.

பார்வை : ..... நாளிட்ட மருத்துவச் செலவினைத் திரும்பக்கேளும் அவரது விண்ணப்பம்.

திருத்திரும்பி/செல்வி.....அவர்களால் அனுப்பப்பட்டுள்ள மருத்துவச் செலவைத் திரும்பப் பெறுவதற்குரிய பணப்பட்டியை இனம் ..... ல் கூறப்பட்டுள்ள பின்வரும் காரணங்களுக்காக இத்துடன் இணைத்து திருப்பி அனுப்புகிறது.

1. பணப்பட்டியல் / இன்றியமையாத தன்மைக்கான சான்றிதழில் மருத்துவர் கையொப்பமிட வேண்டும்.
2. இணைப்புப் படிவம் முறையாகப் பூர்த்தி செய்யப்பட வேண்டும்.
3. இன்றியமையாத தன்மைக்கான சான்றிதழில் கொடுக்கப்படவுள்ள மருந்துகளின் பெயர் பணப்பட்டியில் மாறுபடுகிறது.
4. இன்றியமையாத தன்மைக்கான சான்றிதழில் கொடுக்கப்பட்டுள்ள மொத்தத் தொகை பணப்பட்டியிலிருந்து மாறுபடுகிறது.
5. இணைப்புப் படிவத்தில் வாரியப் பணியாளரின் கையொப்பம் இடப்படவில்லை.
6. இன்றியமையாத தன்மைக்கான சான்றிதழ் படிவத்தினைச் சரியாகப் பூர்த்தி செய்ய வேண்டும். அச்சான்றிதழில் உள்ள திருத்தம் அதிகாரம் பெற்ற மருத்துவரால் சான்றொப்பம் இடப்பட வேண்டும்.
7. பணப்பட்டியில் உள்ள திருத்தம் கடைக்காரரினால் முத்திரையுடன் சான்றொப்பமிடப்பட வேண்டும்.
8. இன்றியமையாத தன்மைக்கான சான்றிதழில் தேதியுடன் கூடிய மருத்துவமனையின் முத்திரை பதிக்கப்பட வேண்டும்.
9. பணப்பட்டியின் தேதி இன்றியமையாத தன்மைக்கான சான்றிதழில் கொடுக்கப்பட்டுள்ள சிகிச்சைக்கான காலத்துக்கான தேதிக்கு முன்பாக / பின்பாக உள்ளது.
10. இன்றியமையாத தன்மைக்கான சான்றிதழில் மருந்தின் அளவு குறிப்பிடப்பட வேண்டும்.
11. இன்றியமையாத தன்மைக்கான சான்றிதழில் சிகிச்சைக்கான காலம் அதிகாரம் பெற்ற மருத்துவரின் சான்றொப்பத்துடன் தெளிவாக எழுதப்பட வேண்டும்.
12. இன்றியமையாத தன்மைக்கான சான்றிதழ்களில்/பணப்பட்டிகளில் மருத்துவரின் கையொப்பம்/முத்திரை இல்லை.
13. இணைப்பிலுள்ள பத்தி ..... முறையாகப் பூர்த்தி செய்யப்படவில்லை.
14. பணம் முழுமையாகப் பெறப்பட்டதற்கான கடைக்காரரின் கையொப்பம் பணப்பட்டியில் இல்லை.
15. இன்றியமையாத தன்மைக்கான சான்றிதழில்/பணப் பட்டிகளில் நோயாளியின் பெயர் உறவு முறையுடன் கொடுக்கப்படவில்லை.
16. மருந்துகள் வாங்கியதற்கான மருந்துச் சீட்டு அளிக்கப்படவில்லை.
17. இன்றியமையாத தன்மைக்கான சான்றிதழில்/பணப்பட்டியில் மருந்துச் சீட்டில் காணப்படும் திருத்தத்திற்கு — மருத்துவர் அதிகாரம் பெற்ற மருத்துவர் / கடைக்காரர் சான்றொப்பம் அளிக்கப்படவில்லை.
18. இன்றியமையாத தன்மைக்கான சான்றிதழில் மருந்தின் பெயர்கள் முழுமை பெறாமல்/தவறுதலாக எழுதப்பட்டுள்ளது.
19. மருத்துவரின் மருந்துச் சீட்டில் மருந்து சாப்பிடும் அளவு கொடுக்கப்படவில்லை.
20. ..... முதல் ..... வரையிலான காலத்திற்கு ஏற்கனவே இவர் ரூ..... மருத்துவச் செலவைத் திரும்பப் பெற்றுள்ளதனால் தற்பொழுது பண ரீது ..... ன் படி மருத்துவச் செலவைத் திரும்பப் பெறும் கோரிக்கை ஏற்றுக் கொள்ளப்படமாட்டாது.
21. பணப்பட்டிகளில் மருத்துவரின் பெயர் கொடுக்கப்பட வேண்டும்

பெறுநர் :

திருத்திரும்பி / செல்வி.....

.....வழியாக.

(இணைப்புடன்).

**FORM OF APPLICATION TO APPLY FOR THE EDUCATIONAL ADVANCE TO THE EMPLOYEES OF  
THE T.N.E.B. FOR THE EDUCATION OF THEIR CHILDREN.**

1. Name of the employee
2. Designation
3. Basic Pay
4. Name of the Child/Children
5. Age of the Child/Children
6. Class/Std. in which studying
7. The name of the Institution with full address.
8. Date of re-opening of the School
9. Probable expenditure on Special fees and books
10. Whether he/she was sanctioned this advance last year and if so, whether the recovery has been completed.
11. The amount of advance required (Maximum Rs.400/-)
12. Whether the certificate from the head of the Institution is enclosed. i.e. Certificate should be produced along with the application, from the heads of the Institution indicating the Class or Std., in which the children are studying.

Place:

Date:

**SIGNATURE OF THE EMPLOYEE  
WITH DESIGNATION**

B.G. - 7 (Sep. '95)

தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரியப் பணியாளர்களின் குழந்தைகளின் கல்விச் செலவுக்கென  
முன்பணம் பெறுவதற்கான மின் வாரியப்பணியாளர்களால்  
அளிக்கப்பட வேண்டிய விண்ணப்பப் படிவம்.

1. பணியாளரின் பெயர்
2. வகிக்கும் பதவி
3. அடிப்படை ஊதியம்.
4. குழந்தை/குழந்தைகளின் பெயர்
5. குழந்தை/குழந்தைகளின் வயது
6. எந்த வகுப்பில் பயிலுகின்றனர்.
7. கல்வி கற்கும் பயிலகத்தின் பெயர் (முழுமையான முகவரியுடன்)
8. பள்ளி மீண்டும் திறக்கப்படும் நாள்.
9. தனிக் கட்டணம் மற்றும் புத்தகங்களுக்காக ஆகும் தோராயமான செலவு.
10. கடந்த ஆண்டில் இவருக்கு இந்த முன் பணம் அனுமதிக்கப்பட்டுள்ளதா? ஆம் எனில், அது முழுவதும் பிடித்தம் செய்யப்பட்டு விட்டதா?
11. தேவைப்படும் முன் பணத்தொகை (அதிகப் படியாக ரூ. 400/)
12. கல்வி கற்கும் நிலையத்தின் தலைவரிடம் சான்றிதழ் பெற்று இணைக்கப்பட்டுள்ளதா? (விண்ணப்பத்துடன், அக்குழந்தைகள் எந்த வகுப்பில் கல்வி பயிலுகின்றனர் என்று குறிப்பிட்டு, கல்வி நிலையத்தலைவரால் வழங்கும் சான்றிதழை இணைக்க வேண்டும்)

இடம் :

நாள் :

பணியாளரின் கையொப்பம்  
வகிக்கும் பதவியுடன்.



## TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD

Office of the .....

Memo.No.

Dated:

Sub: Estt. - Class III Service - Thiru

Tour outside the Jurisdiction - Approval-Accorded - Regarding.

Ref:

In the circumstances stated by the Superintending Engineer/.....  
in his letter cited, approval is hereby accorded for deputing Thiru .....  
to perform journey to .....

The action of the Superintending Engineer/ In having deputed Thiru  
in anticipation of approval of Chief Engineer/ .....  
hereby approved and also ratified.

CHIEF ENGINEER

To

The .....

## தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரியம்

அலுவலகம்

குறிப்பானை எண்.

நாள்:

பொருள்: பணித்தொகுதி - ..... ஆம் நிலைப் பணியமைப்பு -- திரு..... --  
அதிகார எல்லைக்கு அப்பாற்பட்ட பயணம் இசைவு அளித்தல் - குறித்து.

பார்வை : ..... நாளிட்ட மே. பொ.]...... அவர்களின் கடித எண்.....

மேற்பார்வைப் பொறியாளர்/ ..... அவர்கள் மேற்கண்ட கடிதத்தில்  
குறிப்பிட்டுள்ள சூழ்நிலைகளைக் கருதி திரு..... அவர்களை வேற்றுப் பணியாக  
..... க்கு பயணம் மேற்கொள்ள இசைவு அளிக்கப்படுகின்றது.

தலைமைப் பொறியாளர்/..... அவர்களின் இசைவு பெறும் முன்னரே  
திரு..... அவர்களை வேற்றுப்பணிக்கு அனுப்பிய மேற்பார்வைப்  
பொறியாளர்/..... அவர்களின் செயலுக்கு ஒப்புதல் அளிக்கப்பட்டு  
பின் இசைவும் அளிக்கப்படுகின்றது.

தலைமைப் பொறியாளர்.....

பெறுநர்:

# TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD

Office of the Chief Engineer/

O.O.No.

Dt

Sub: Establishment - Class      Service - Thiru

Additional Charge of the post of ..... Additional charge allowance  
- Sanction - Accorded.

Ref :

Under Regulation 49 and the delegation there under of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Service Regulations Thiru

is appointed to hold full additional charge of the post of  
with effect from  
transferred on promotion.

Under Regulation 49 of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Service Regulation and under the powers delegated in B.P. Ma.No.1287, dt.17.9.77 sanction is accorded for the drawal of full additional charge allowance by Thiru

at the rate of 1/5 of the pay actually drawn in the officiating post per mensem or 1/2 of the minimum of the post held under additional charge whichever is less for the period from .....  
to ..... when he held full additional charge of the post .....  
..... of

vice Thiru  
transferred on promotion.

It is certified that the duties and responsibilities of the post of  
additional charge by Thiru  
are not divisible.

held in full

To

Thiru

Copy to :

## தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரியம்

தலைமைப் பொறியாளர் ..... அலுவலகம்

அலுவலக ஆணை எண்.

நாள்.....

பொருள் : பணித் தொகுதி - .... நிலைப் பணியமைப்பு - திரு..... பதவியில் கூடுதல் பொறுப்பு- ஒப்பளிப்பு வழங்கப்படுகின்றது.

பார்வை:

தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரியப்பணி ஒழுங்குமுறை விதிகள் எண்.49ன் படியும், வழங்கப்பட்ட அதிகார ஒப்படைப்பின்படியும் திரு..... அவர்கள் மாற்றப்பட்டதனால் / பதவி உயர்வு அளிக்கப்பட்டதனால், திரு..... அவர்கள் மேற்கண்ட ..... பதவிக்காக முழு கூடுதல் பொறுப்பு வகித்திட .... தேதியிலிருந்து நியமிக்கப்படுகின்றார்.

தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரிய பணி ஒழுங்குமுறை விதிகள் எண். 49ன் படியும் வாரிய நிலை ஆணை எண். (பல்வகை) 1297, நாள் 17.9.77 ல் அளிக்கப்பட்ட அதிகார ஒப்படைப்பின்படியும், திரு..... அவர்களால் முழு கூடுதல் பொறுப்பு பதவிக்குரிய படிசளாக தற்பொழுது வசிக்கும் பதவியில் வாங்கிடும் ஊதியத்தில் 1/5- பகுதி விகிதத்திலோ (அல்லது) கூடுதல் பொறுப்பு பதவி வகித்த பதவியின் குறைந்தபட்ச ஊதியத்தில் பாதியையோ எது குறைவானதோ அதனை திரு.....க்கு கூடுதல் பொறுப்பு வகித்த.... தேதியிலிருந்து ..... வரையிலான காலத்திற்கு பெற்றிட ஒப்பளிப்பு வழங்கப்படுகின்றது.

திரு..... அவர்கள் கூடுதல் முழு பொறுப்பு வகித்த புதவிக்கான கடமைகளும் பொறுப்புகளும், பகிர்ந்தளிக்கக் கூடியவை அல்ல என்றும் சான்றளிக்கப்படுகின்றது.

பெறுநர்.

திரு.....

நகல் :

# TAMILNADU ELECTRICITY BOARD

Office of the .....

Note No.

Dated:

Sub: Establishment - Class      Service - Thiru .....  
Retention of Board's Quarters - Approval - Requested - Regarding.

Ref:1. (Per) B.P.(FB) No.71,(S.B.) dt.26.10.92.

2. S.E./.....

The Superintending Engineer/.....  
has forwarded a representation dt.      received from  
requesting for retention of Board's Quarters for .....day from ..... to .....

The Superintending Engineer has stated that Thiru ..... has been relieved  
on ..... The officer has requested to retain the Board's Quarters  
for ..... from ..... to ..... for the reasons .....

The Executive Engineer/..... has also recommended and stated that the retention of  
Board's Quarters by the Individual is not detrimental to Board's interest.

The Superintending Engineer has therefore requested permission for allowing to retain the Board's  
Quarters by Thiru ..... from ..... to .....

In view of the above, it is requested for orders whether permission may be accorded to retain the Board's  
Quarters by Thiru ..... for ..... days from ..... to .....

Subject to approval a draft memo. to the Superintending Engineer / .....  
is put up below for approval please.

## தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரியம்

..... அலுவலகம்

குறிப்பு எண்.

பொருள்: பணித்தொகுதி- ..... நிலைப் பணியமைப்பு -  
திரு .....வாரிய குடியிருப்பினை நிறுத்தி வைத்திருத்தல் - இசைவு - குறித்து.

பார்வை: 1. 28.10.92 நாளிட்ட வாரிய நிலையாணை (நிரந்தரம்) (மு.வா.) எண்.71 (செ.கி.)

2. .... நாளிட்ட மே. பொ./..... அவர்களின்.....

மேற்பார்வைப் பொறியாளர்|..... அவர்கள் திரு .....  
அவர்களிடமிருந்து வாரிய குடியிருப்பை ..... லிருந்து ..... வரையிலான .....  
நாட்களுக்கு நிறுத்தி வைத்துக் கொள்ளக் கோரும் ..... நாளிட்ட மனுவினை அனுப்பியுள்ளார்.

மேற்பார்வைப் பொறியாளர் அவர்கள் திரு. .... பணியிலிருந்து .....  
நாளன்று விடுவிக்கப்பட்டார் என்று கூறியுள்ளார். இவ்வலுவலர் வாரிய குடியிருப்பை ..... லிருந்து .....  
வரை ..... காரணத்திற்காக நிறுத்தி வைத்துக் கொள்ள அனுமதி கேட்டுள்ளார்.

செயற் பொறியாளர் | ..... அவர்கள் மேற்கண்ட நபரின் வாரியக் குடியிருப்பை  
நிறுத்தி வைத்துக் கொள்ளுவதனால் வாரிய நலன்களுக்கு எவ்வித இடையூறும் ஏற்படாது என்றும்  
பரிந்துரைக்கின்றார்.

ஆகையால் மேற்பார்வைப் பொறியாளர்| ..... அவர்கள். திரு.....  
அவர்கள் ..... லிருந்து ..... வரை ..... காரணத்திற்காக  
வாரிய குடியிருப்பில் நிறுத்தி வைத்துக் கொள்ள அனுமதி கேட்டுள்ளார்.

செயற் பொறியாளர் | ..... அவர்கள் மேற்கண்ட நபரின் வாரிய குடியிருப்பை  
விடாது வைத்துக் கொள்வதனால் வாரிய நலன்களுக்கு எவ்வித இடையூறும் ஏற்படாது என்றும்  
பரிந்துரைத்துள்ளார்.

ஆகையினால் மேற்பார்வைப் பொறியாளர்|..... அவர்கள் திரு. ....  
அவர்களுக்கு ..... லிருந்து ..... வரை வாரிய குடியிருப்பை நிறுத்தி வைத்துக்  
கொள்வதற்கு அனுமதி கோரியுள்ளார்.

மேற்கண்ட காரணங்களினால் திரு. .... அவர்கள் ..... லிருந்து  
..... வரையிலான ..... நாட்களுக்கு வாரிய குடியிருப்பை நிறுத்தி வைத்துக்  
கொள்ள அனுமதி அளித்திடலாமா என்பதற்கு ஆணை வேண்டப்படுகின்றது.

ஒப்புதலை எதிர்பார்த்து மேற்பார்வைப் பொறியாளர்| ..... க்கு வரைவு  
குறிப்பாணை ஒப்புதலுக்கென கீழே வைக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது.

.....

## TAMILNADU ELECTRICITY BOARD

Office of the .....

Memo No.

Dated:

Sub: Establishment - Class      Service -  
Thiru

Retention of Board's Quarters for ..... days from ..... to ..... — permission — Issued.

Ref:1. (Per) B.P.(FB) No.71,(S.B.) dt.26.10.92.

2. S.E./.....

Under the powers delegated in (Per.) B.P.(FB) No.71, (S.B.) dt.26.10.92, the Chief Engineer/ ..... is pleased to accord permission for retention of the Board's Quarters by Thiru ..... for a period of ..... from ..... to

The action of the Superintending Engineer in having allowed Thiru ..... for retaining the Quarters in anticipation of Chief Engineer / ..... is also hereby approved and ratified.

To

The Superintending Engineer/.....

வரைவு ஒப்புதல்

### தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரியம்

குறிப்பானை எண்.

..... அலுவலகம்

நாள்:

பொருள் : பணித்தொகுதி — திரு. .... வாரிய குடியிருப்பை — ..... விருந்து .....நாளன்று வரை நிறுத்தி வைத்துக் கொள்ள — அனுமதி — அளிக்கப்படுகிறது.

பார்வை :1. (நிரந்தரம்) வாரிய நிலை ஆணை எண். (மு.வா.) எண்.71(செ.கி.) நாள் :26.10.92.

2. .... நாளிட்ட மே. பொ./..... அவர்களின் கடித எண். ....

வாரிய நிலையாணை (நிரந்தரம்) (முழுவாரியம்) எண்.71 (செ.கி.) நாள்.26.10.92ல் அளிக்கப்பட்டுள்ள அதிகார ஒப்படைப்பின்படி, தலைமைப் பொறியாளர்/..... திரு..... அவர்கள் ..... விருந்து ..... வரை வாரிய குடியிருப்பை நிறுத்தி வைத்திருக்க இசைவு வழங்குகின்றார்.

தலைமைப் பொறியாளர்/..... அவர்களின் இசைவு பெறுவதற்கு முன்பே திரு ..... அவர்களை வாரிய குடியிருப்பை நிறுத்தி வைத்திருக்க அனுமதியளித்த மேற்பார்வைப் பொறியாளரின் செயல் அங்கீகரிக்கப்பட்டு, பின் இசைவும் அளிக்கப்படுகின்றது.

பெறுநர்

மேற்பார்வைப் பொறியாளர்/.....

Memorandum No.61730/O&M-I(3)/95-1,SecretariatBranch, dated 22.9.95.

**Sub:** Establishment - Narimanam Gas Turbine Station - Executive Engineer (Electrical) with supporting staff in Narimanam Gas Turbine Station now under the control of Chief Engineer/Mettur Thermal Power Station - Transfer to the control of Superintending Engineer(Operation), Basin Bridge Gas Turbine Project - Orders - Issued.

**Ref:** I. Board Office Secretariat Branch Memo.No.43735/O&M- I(3)/95-3 dt.31.8.95.  
II. From the Member (Generation ) Note dt.11.9.95.

It is hereby ordered that the Executive Engineer (Electrical)/Narimanam Gas Turbine Station, now under the control of Chief Engineer/Mettur Thermal Power Station be placed under the control of Superintending Engineer (Operation)/Basin Bridge Gas Turbine Project with effect from 1.10.1995.

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

\* \* \*

Memo.No.310/BOAB/IR3(1)/95-1, Administrative Branch dated 23.9.1995.

**Sub:** Tamil Nadu Electricity Workers' Federation - State Conference on 23.9.95 at Madras - Grant of Special Casual Leave to their members - Instructions Issued.

**Ref:** From the General Secretary, Tamil Nadu Elec'y Workers' Federation Ref.No.158/95 dt.18.9.95.

. . .

In pursuance of the request of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Workers' Federation in the letter cited, the Officers of the Board are informed that Special Casual Leave may be granted on 23.9.95 to those members of Tamil Nadu Electricity Workers' Federation who attend the State Conference at Madras on 23.9.95.

2. It should be ensured that while granting Special Casual Leave there is no detriment to field work.

3. A certificate to the effect that the members of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Workers' Federation actually attended the State Conference at Madras on 23.9.95 from the General Secretary of the Federation or authorised Branch Secretaries of the circles should be obtained and filed in the Casual Leave account of the individual concerned.

4. The Special Casual Leave sanctioned in this Memo. is only for this year 1995 which will not be granted hereafter.

5. The Pay Disbursing/Controlling Officers may be requested to ensure that there is no false claim by anyone under the guise of having attended the conference.

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

OLNEY AARON,  
CHIEF ENGINEER (PERSONNEL).

B.G.-8 (Sep-95)

Memorandum No. 62123/O&M-I(3)/95-1, Secretariat Branch, dated 23.9.95.

Sub: Establishment - Ennore Thermal Power Station - Change of nomenclature of Chief Engineer/Ennore Thermal Power Station and Basin Bridge Power House as Chief Engineer/Ennore Thermal Power Station - Orders - Issued.

Ref: I. Board Office Secretariat Branch Memo.No.43735/O&M-I(3)/95-3 dt.31.8.95.  
 II. From the Chief Engineer/Ennore Thermal Power Station, and Basin Bridge Power House Letter No.EA/F.24/D.266/95 dt.10.9.95.

Consequent on the transfer of Basin Bridge Power House from the control of Chief Engineer/Ennore Thermal Power Station and Basin Bridge Power House ordered in the Board's Memo. cited, the post of "Chief Engineer/Ennore Thermal Power Station and Basin Bridge Power House" shall hereafter be redesignated as "Chief Engineer/Ennore Thermal Power Station"

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
 SECRETARY.

\* \* \*

Circular Memo.No.45812/VC.11/95-1, VIGILANCE CELL, dated the 25 th September 95.

Sub: Inspection of Services - Detection of theft and malpractices - Procedure of not adhering field staff leading to loss of revenue - Action to be initiated against the erring officers and staff instructions - Issued.

Ref: Chairman's Circular Memo.No.17748/VC7/83-1, dated 28.9.1984.

In the Circular Memo. cited, (copy enclosed) clear instructions have been issued indicating the procedure to be followed in dealing with theft of energy cases/Irregularities (Violations) detected by Anti Power Theft Squad on receipt of the Inspection report from the Assistant Executive Engineers/Anti Power Theft Squad.

2. Of late, it is observed that these instructions are not being strictly followed by some of the field officers, resulting in undue delay in rectification of even major defects, removal of the violation and non-realisation of the compensation charges, etc. Such delay in rectification of defects encourages the unscrupulous consumers to indulge in theft of energy or commit violation of Terms and Conditions of supply and also delay in the issue of show cause and Assessment notices etc., which in turn results in heavy financial loss to the Board. For example, non-rectification of the defects, viz. non-provision of Tamper proof Double compartment Box in L.T. Services or erection of metering point far away from the nearest entry point from the public road in the consumers premises will tempt the consumer to indulge in theft of energy or violation etc. The rectification of such defects will certainly be a possible preventive step to curb theft of energy and violations of Terms and Conditions of supply.

3. The Chief Engineers of Distribution Regions and Superintending Engineers of Electricity Distribution Circles are requested to ensure that immediate action is taken to rectify the defects as and when pointed out by the inspecting officers of the Anti Power Theft Squad/Vigilance Cell within a reasonable time of three months from the date of receipt of such reports. An interim report on each reference shall be sent within 3 months and a final report within 6 months from the date of receipt of the inspection notes. If any undue delay in rectification of defects is anticipated, the same should be properly justified and explained in the final report with an assurance to complete the same by fixing a target date.

4. The Chief Engineers of Distribution Regions, Superintending Engineers of Electricity Distribution Circles are requested to instruct their subordinates suitably and inform that any lapses on the above score will



be viewed seriously and responsibility has to be fixed on them and Disciplinary Proceedings initiated wherever warranted.

5. The receipt of this circular may be acknowledged in the slip enclosed.

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

Encl:-

K. NATARAJAN,  
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE/VIGILANCE.

Copy of Circular Memo No.17748-VC7/83-1, Dated 28th September 1984 from Secretariat Branch, Tamil Nadu Electricity Board.

Purattasi 12, Rakthatchi - Thiruvalluvar Aandu 2015.

Sub: ANTI POWER THEFT SQUAD - Inspection of Services - Detection of theft and Malpractices - Procedure.

Ref: Circular Memo.No.29844-VC7/83-1, dt.31.12.83.

During routine inspection of services, the Anti Power Theft Squad detect theft of energy and malpractices that exist in services. The inspection reports of Divisional Engineers/Anti Power Theft Squad and Superintending Engineers/Anti Power Theft Squad are communicated by the Vigilance Cell to Superintending Engineer/Operation and Maintenance concerned who in turn communicate such reports to the field Operation and Maintenance Officers. This process is reported to cause delay. In order to avoid delay and to ensure speedy follow up action on the reports of Anti Power Theft Squad by the field Operation and Maintenance Officers, it is considered necessary that procedure similar to that laid down in respect of 'SIN' references mentioned in the reference cited may be adopted.

2. In future, whenever any malpractices is detected in a service connection, the Assistant Divisional Engineer/Anti Power Theft Squad will prepare a report and take out six copies. One copy of it should be immediately handed over to the territorial Assistant Divisional Engineer/Operation and Maintenance concerned under acknowledgement for further follow up action such as issue of provisional assessment notice etc. Thereafter, the Assistant Divisional Engineer will send four copies of the report to the Divisional Engineer/Anti Power Theft Squad indicating clearly the name of the Assistant Divisional Engineer to whom a copy of the report was handed over. The Divisional Engineer/Anti Power Theft Squad will send three clear copies of inspection reports with remarks to the Superintending Engineer/Anti Power Theft Squad concerned who will send two copies of the report together with the remarks of the Divisional Engineers and the Superintending Engineer to the Chief Vigilance Officer of which one copy will be forwarded to the Superintending Engineer/Operation and Maintenance System concerned.

3. The Assistant Divisional Engineer/Operation and Maintenance who receives a copy of the inspection report the Anti Power Theft Squad should initiate appropriate action and issue assessment notice as per the procedure laid down in B.P.Ms.No.780, dated 21.6.77 within seven days from the date of receipt of the inspection report of the Anti Power Theft Squad and send a report to the Superintending Engineer/Operation and Maintenance concerned with copy to the Regional Chief Engineer/Distribution concerned as per the procedure outlined in Memo (Permanent) No.40632/O&M Cell/84-1, dt.20.7.84 indicating clearly all relevant details of the theft or irregularity.

4. Receipt of this Circular Memo. may be acknowledged in the slip enclosed.

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN).

-/True copy/-

குறிப்பாணை எண். 58337/சி2/95— 2, செயலக கிளை நாள்:25.09.1995.

பொருள்: தமிழ்நாடு கடைகள் மற்றும் நிறுவனங்கள் சட்டம் 1947, தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரிய, அதிகாரத்திற்குட்பட்ட தமிழ்நாட்டிலுள்ள எல்லா நிறுவனங்களுக்கும் தமிழ்நாடு கடைகள் மற்றும் நிறுவனங்கள் சட்டத்தின் சில பிரிவுகளிலிருந்து 7.9.95 முதல் ஒரு ஆண்டுக்கு விதிவிலக்கு நீட்டித்து உத்தரவு தொழிலாளர் துறை ஆணையரின் செயல்முறை நடவடிக்கைகள் ஆணை நகல் அனுப்பப்படுகிறது.

பார்வை: 7.9.95 நாளிட்ட தொழிலாளர் துறை ஆணையரின் செயல்துறை நடவடிக்கை எண்.ச2/58492/95.

பார்வையில் குறிப்பிட்டுள்ள 7.9.95 நாளிட்ட தொழிலாளர் துறை ஆணையரின் செயல்துறை நடவடிக்கை எண்.ச2/58492/95 ஆணை நகல் ஒன்று தமிழ்நாடு மின்வாரிய தலைமைப் பொறியாளர்கள், தலைமைப் பொறியாளர்கள் (பகிர்மானம்), மேற்பார்வைப் பொறியாளர்கள் மற்றும் ஏனைய அலுவலர்களுக்கு தகவலுக்காகவும், பின்பற்றவும் அனுப்பப்படுகிறது. மேற்குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ள ஆணையில் தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரிய அதிகாரத்திற்குட்பட்ட தமிழ் நாட்டிலுள்ள எல்லா நிறுவனங்களுக்கும் தமிழ்நாடு கடைகள் மற்றும் நிறுவனங்கள் சட்டத்தின் சில பிரிவுகளிலிருந்து 7.9.95 முதல் ஓராண்டுக்கு விதி விலக்கு நீட்டித்து உத்தரவு அளிக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது.

2. தலைமைப் பொறியாளர்/தலைமைப் பொறியாளர்கள் (பகிர்மானம்), மேற்பார்வைப் பொறியாளர்கள் மற்றும் ஏனைய அலுவலர்கள் தொழிலாளர் துறை ஆணையரால் அவரது செயல்துறை நடவடிக்கைகள் ஆணையில் குறிப்பிட்டுள்ள நிபந்தனைகளை பின்பற்றுமாறு கேட்டுக் கொள்ளப்படுகிறார்கள்.

இணைப்பு: 1

எம் சுப்ரமணியன்,  
செயலர்.

சென்னை 6, தொழிலாளர் ஆணையரின் செயல்முறை நடவடிக்கைகள்

முன்னிலை : திரு. இல. பழமலை, இ.ஆ.ப.

கருக்கம்

தமிழ்நாடு கடைகள் நிறுவனங்கள் சட்டம் 1947 — தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரிய அதிகாரத்திற்குட்பட்ட தமிழ்நாட்டிலுள்ள அனைத்து நிறுவனங்களுக்கும் தமிழ்நாடு கடைகள் நிறுவனங்கள் சட்டத்தின் சில பிரிவுகளிலிருந்து 7.9.95 முதல் ஒரு ஆண்டிற்கு விதிவிலக்கு நீட்டித்து ஆணை வழங்கப்படுகிறது.

எண். 2/58492/95

நாள் : 7.9.95

படிக்கவும் : 1. இவ்வலுவலக செயல்முறை நடவடிக்கைகள் எண்.க2/58691/94 நாள்: 23.8.94

2. 31.8.95 தேதியிட்ட தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரிய செயலரின் கடிதம் எண்.58337. சி2/95— 1.

ஆணை:

சென்னையிலுள்ள தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரிய செயலாளர் பார்வையில் குறிப்பிட்டுள்ள தனது கடிதத்தில் தமிழ்நாட்டிலுள்ள தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரியத்தின் அதிகாரத்திற்குட்பட்ட எல்லா நிறுவனங்களுக்கும் பார்வை — 1ல் கண்ட இவ்வலுவலக செயல்முறை நடவடிக்கையில் வழங்கப்பட்ட விதிவிலக்கு காலத்தை 2.9.95 முதல் மேலும் ஓராண்டிற்கு நீட்டித்து உத்தரவு வழங்குமாறு கோரியுள்ளார். மேற்கண்ட விதிவிலக்கு தொடர்பாக எந்தவித புகாரும் இவ்வலுவலகத்தில் பெறப்படவில்லை.

எனவே, தமிழ்நாடு கடைகள் நிறுவனங்கள் சட்டப்பிரிவு 6ன் படியும், (தமிழ்நாடு சட்டம் 36/1947) 31.12.80 நாளிட்ட தொழிலாளர் மற்றும் வேலை வாய்ப்புத்துறை அரசாணை எண்.2943ன் அதிகாரத்தின் படியும், தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரியத்தின் அதிகாரத்திற்குட்பட்ட தமிழ்நாட்டிலுள்ள எல்லா நிறுவனங்களுக்கும் தமிழ்நாடு கடைகள் நிறுவனங்கள் சட்டம் பிரிவுகள் 20,21,22, 23, 25, 31,34, 35, 41, 43, 50 மற்றும் 51ஐ தவிர மற்ற எல்லாப் பிரிவுகளிலிருந்தும் இவ்வாணை பிறப்பிக்கப்பட்ட தேதியிலிருந்து (அதாவது 7.9.95 முதல்) மேலும் ஓராண்டிற்கு விதி விலக்கு கீழ்க்கண்ட நிபந்தனைகளுக்குட்பட்டு நீட்டிக்கப்படுகிறது.

நிபந்தனைகள்:

1. ஒவ்வொரு தொழிலாளிக்கும், ஒவ்வொரு நாளும் மிகை நேர வேலை உட்பட வேலை நேரம் ஆகியவைகளைக் கொண்ட வருகைப் பதிவேடும், பார்வையாளர் புத்தகமும் பராமரிக்கப்பட்டு அந்நிறுவன எல்லைக்குட்பட்ட தொழிலாளர் ஆய்வாளர்களுக்கு அன்னாரது குறிப்புரைகளைப் பதிய காட்டப்பட வேண்டும்.
2. விடுப்பு நாளில் பணி புரியும் தொழிலாளர்களுக்கு மாற்று விடுப்பு அடுத்து வரும் வாரத்தில் அளிக்கப்பட வேண்டும்.
3. தொழிலாளர் ஆணையரின் முன் அனுமதியின்றி வாரியத்தின் விடுப்பு விதிகள் மற்றும் வேலை நேரம் ஆகியவற்றை மாற்றக் கூடாது.

ஒப்பம்/.... இல. பழமலை,  
தொழிலாளர் ஆணையர்,

// உண்மை நகல்//

**Establishment - Tamil Nadu Electricity Board - Board's Counsel - Appointment - Orders - Issued**

(Per) B.P.(FB) No 72

(SECRETARIAT BRANCH)

DATED: 29.09.1995,

Purattasi 12, Yuva,

Thiruvalluvar Aandu 2026.

Read:

Per.B.P.(FB) No.122 (SB) Dated 29.11.93

**PROCEEDINGS:**

The Tamil Nadu Electricity Board hereby appoints Thiru V.Radhakrishnan, B.A., B.L., Advocate, Anna Nagar Western Extension, Madras-101 as Board's Standing Counsel in equal status among the existing Standing Counsels from the date of assuming charge.

2. The appointment of Thiru V.Radhakrishnan, as Board's Counsel shall be subject to the terms and conditions of appointment, fees etc., as stipulated in the Annexure to these proceedings. He will be paid an retainer fee of Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees One thousand only) per month from the date of assuming charge.

3. The allocation of work among the five Board's Standing Counsels will be issued separately.

4. The expenditure is debitable to "Administration and General Expenses - Legal charges Code No.76-121".

(BY ORDER OF THE BOARD)

Encl:-

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

**ANNEXURE****DUTIES****(1) Period of appointment:-**

The Counsels shall hold Office with effect from the date of assuming charge.

**(2) Duties:-**

The Duties and responsibilities of the Counsels shall be as follows:-

- (i) To advise the T.N.E.Board and its Subordinate Officers on legal matters referred to them.
- (ii) To prepare, settle and scrutinise draft agreements, all other deeds, contract documents etc. pertaining to the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board.
- (iii) To appear on behalf of the T.N.E.Board in all cases in the High Court and before the Arbitrators, Labour Court and Tribunals, in Madras City and in such of those cases in the mofussil where they are specifically instructed by the Board to appear.
- (iv) To prepare plaints, written statements, affidavits, Counter affidavits, grounds of appeal etc. and to do all works incidental to cases in which they appear on behalf of the Board.
- (v) To perform such other duties of legal nature as may be entrusted to them by the Board from time to time.

**(3) Bar of holding briefs against the Board:-**

The Board's Standing Counsels are debarred from advising or holding brief against the Board or from giving advice to private parties in cases in which they are likely to be called upon to advise the Board and enter appearance on behalf of the Board. They will themselves be the Judges to decide whether they can or cannot advise a private party in such cases. He should not appear against the Government.

**(4) Travelling Allowance:-**

When instructed to appear on behalf of the Board in mofussil Courts, the Counsels are eligible for T.A. at the rates laid down for Officers of Grade-I under the Board's T.A. Regulations for journeys performed.

**(5) Engagement of Juniors:-**

A Junior will be allowed only in appeals in High Court where the value of the appeal exceeds Rs.10,000/-. In such cases, the Standing Counsel concerned may apply to the Board in time for sanctioning the engagement of a Junior, stating the amount of valuation, the last date for filing the vakalath and the name of the Junior whom he proposes to engage. In exceptionally difficult cases, the engagement of a junior may, however, be permitted although the value of the appeal does not exceed Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only). In such cases, the Standing Counsel should furnish a certificate to the effect that the case is a complicated case involving questions of law and fact and that the engagement of a junior is absolutely necessary. Specific prior approval of the Board should be obtained in each case, before a junior is engaged. The Junior so engaged shall be eligible for one third of the fees payable to the Senior or such fee as the Court in its discretion determines.

**(6) Distribution of work among counsels during emergent circumstances:-**

In the event of resignation, termination of appointment or termination of the contract, of an existing Counsel, the work of such Counsel shall be distributed among the other Counsels and if considered necessary, the Board shall have the right to engage a Counsel whose name is not included in the panel.

**(7) Consent Vakalath in case of change of the Counsels:-**

In the event of any change in the arrangement of the Counsels, the outgoing one shall give consent Vakalath to his successor so as to enable his successor to enter appearance on behalf of the Board in all proceedings, pending cases before the Courts, Labour Courts, Tribunals etc. and hand over all the records to his successor. He shall be responsible for all the consequences for failure to do so.

**(8) Termination of appointment:-**

The Board shall have the discretion to terminate the appointment of the Counsels or any single Counsel or the entire arrangement of the Board's Counsels without assigning any reason after giving Counsel/or the Counsels a month's notice in writing.

-/True Copy/-

\* \* \*

**Memo.No.8868/H1/95-5, SECRETARIAT BRANCH, Dated the 29th September 1995.**

**Sub:** Establishment - Tamil Nadu Electricity Board - Board's Standing Counsels - Re-allocation of subjects - Orders - Issued.

**Ref:** 1. Per.B.P.(FB) No.122 (SB) dated 29.11.93.  
2. (Per.) B.P.(FB)No.72 (SB) dated 29.9.95.

In the orders first cited, four Standing Counsels were appointed to look after the Board's cases. Now, in order to give some relief to the said Standing Counsels of the Board, one more Standing Counsel namely Thiru V.Radhakrishnan, Advocate has been appointed in the B.P. second cited. The allocation of subjects among the five Standing Counsels is indicated in the Annexure to this memo.

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN

Encl:

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

Encl. :

**ANNEXURE****ALLOCATION OF WORK****(1) Thiru V.Radhakrishnan, B.A., B.L.,****Residence**

588, School Road, Anna Nagar  
Western Extension,  
Madras 600 101  
Phone: 6265053

**Office**

161, Thambu Chetty Street,  
Madras 600 001.  
Phone: 5340133.

.. All Writ Petitions, Writ Appeals in the High Court relating to service matters including cases arising from Labour Courts Indl. Tribunal.

**(2) Thiru C.S.Krishnamoorthy, B.A., B.L.,****Residence**

Flat No.31, Kala Flats,  
94, Habibuilla Road, T.Nagar,  
Madras 600 017.  
Phone: 8259986.

**Office**

No.6, Law Chamber, High Court,  
Madras 600 106.  
Phone: 5340382.

.. All Writ Petitions, Writ Appeals in the High Court relating to Electricity (supply) Act, Indian Elec. Act including Contracts.

**(3) Thiru S.Rajeswaran, M.A., B.L.,****Residence**

Block AA 67, Anna Nagar,  
Madras 600 040.  
Phone: 6212249.

**Office**

No.VIII High Court Chambers,  
High Court Building,  
High Court, Madras.

.. Civil Suits/Appeals (original side), First/Second Appeals in the High Court, Crl.Revision, Crl.Misc. Petitions, Civil Revision, Civil Misc.Petitions, O.S.A.

**(4) Thiru N.Kanagasabhai, B.A., B.L.,****Residence**

No.49, Burkit Road, T.Nagar,  
Madras 600 017.  
Phone: 446096.

**Office**

No.55, Law Chambers,  
High Court, Madras.

.. Cases against Consumer Protection Act before Dist.Consumer Disputes Redressal forum, Madras and State Consumer Redressal Commr./Madras.

**(5) Thiru G.Vasudevan, B.Com.,B.L.,**

**Residence**

Flat No.3, Thirukumaran Apartment,  
No.12, Rameswaram Road,  
T.Nagar, Madras 600 017.

**Office**

No.55, Law Chambers,  
High Court, Madras.

.. All cases in the City Civil Court & Rent Control cases, all Labour Court cases in Madras Region, Motor Accident Claims cases and Small causes.

/True copy/

\* \* \*

**Memo.No.40828/CI/95-1, Secretariat Branch, Dated 29.09.95.**

SUB: Labour - T.N.E.B. Revision of work allocation and staff pattern and Revision of scales of pay rates of Dearness Allowances, House Rent Allowance, City Compensatory Allowance and other special pays and allowances - orders - Amendment issued.

Ref: (Per) B.P.(FB) No.5 (SB), dt.25.1.94.

The following amendment is issued to (Per.) B.P.(FB) No.5 (SB) dated 25.1.94.

**AMENDMENT**

In annexure II to the said Board's proceedings, in Part-I under "Work allocation and staff pattern for field workmen (RWE) in Distribution Circles", for the existing entries "against Item-4 under the head-15 Meter Relay Test", the following works and figures shall be substituted:-

"4 - Instrument Repairer - 1 (One) (for Telephones)"

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

\* \* \*

**Memo.No.42133/CI/95-1, SECRETARIAT BRANCH, Dated 29.09.95.**

Sub: Establishment - Tamil Nadu Electricity Board - Revision of Scales of Pay, Dearness Allowance, House Rent Allowance, City Compensatory Allowance and other Special Pay and Allowances for officers of Board - Orders - Amendment - Issued.

Ref: (Per.) B.P.(FB) No.23, (SB) dt.4.5.94.

The following amendment is issued to (Per.) B.P.(FB) No.23 (SB), dt.4.5.94.

**AMENDMENT**

In annexure III to the said Board's Proceedings under the main head 'Revision of Rates of certain Special pays and Allowances' and under the sub head "Annual Thermal Incentive Bonus for officials mentioned below at

B.G.-9 (sep-95)

Tuticorin Thermal Power Station" for the existing Sl.Nos.(1) and (2) and the entries and amounts shown against them, the following shall be substituted:-

- |  |                        |
|--|------------------------|
| 1. Assistant Administrative Officer/ Assistant Accounts Officer/Stores Officer | ... Rs.100 per slab.   |
| 2. Assistant Executive Engineer/Senior Chemist/Medical Officer                 | ... Rs.150/- per slab. |

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

\* \* \*

Memo.No.57266/C1/95-1, SECRETARIAT BRANCH, Dated 30.09.95.

Sub: Establishment - Tamil Nadu Electricity Board - Officers - Revision of scales of pay and allowances from 1.12.92 - Anomaly of junior getting more pay than senior in the Revised scales of pay - Rectification - Ordered - Amendment issued.

Ref: (Per) B.P.(FB) No.23 (SB), dt.4.5.94.

\* \* \*

According to the provision in Regulation 6(1) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Revised scales of pay (Officers) Regulations 1994, in case a senior officer promoted to a higher post prior to 1.12.92, draws lesser pay in the revised scale of pay than his junior who was promoted to a higher post on or after 1.12.92, the pay of the senior officer may be stepped up on par with that of junior with effect from the date from which the junior draws more pay subject to the conditions prescribed thereunder.

2. In this connection a point has arisen as to how to rectify such anomalies in the case of a senior in the lower post who has relinquished his right for promotion for a period of 3 years. During this 3 years period of relinquishment, selection grade would have been given and periodical increments also granted to the officer and after the expiry of this 3 years period, the officer, is promoted to higher post after 1.12.92. Consequently, the officer becomes junior in the higher post but will draw higher rate of pay. The senior in the higher post (who were junior in the lower post) may therefore claim rectification of pay on par with that of this junior.

3. The consideration is whether in such cases the pay of the senior officer in the promoted post can be stepped up to that of the junior from the date from which the junior in the promoted post draws more pay under Rule 6(1) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Revised scale of pay (officers) Regulation 1994 by the appointing authority if the prescribed conditions are satisfied.

4. After careful consideration, it is clarified that a senior officer in the lower post who has relinquished his right for promotion for a period of 3 years and then promoted to higher post after the expiry of the period of 3 years becomes junior in the higher post. Consequent to which, the said junior happened to draw more pay in the higher post due to fixation of pay taking into account of the periodical increments granted and movement to selection grade, if any in the lower post during the relinquished period of 3 years. It is therefore ordered that in such circumstances, the pay of the seniors in the higher post may be stepped up to that of the said junior from the date on which the said junior happened to draw more pay than the seniors in the higher post by applying Rule 6(1) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Revised Scale of pay (Officers) Regulations 1994 as a special case, subject to the fulfillment of other conditions prescribed therein.

5. In exercise of the powers conferred by section 79(c) of the Electricity (Supply) Act 1948/Central Act 54 of 1948), the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board hereby makes the following amendment to the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Revised scales of pay (officers) Regulations 1994 contained in para 6 of the (Per) B.P.(FB) No.23 dt.4.5.94.



**AMENDMENT**

In the said Regulations, the existing Note under Regulation 6(1) may be corrected as Note 1 and the following shall be added after that:-

"Note (2). In case the senior in the lower post has relinquished his right for promotion for a period of 3 years and then promoted to higher post on expiry of the 3 years period after 1.12.92 and became junior in the higher post happened to draw more pay than his seniors in the higher post due to fixation of pay taking into account of the periodical increment granted and movement to selection grade in the lower post during the relinquished period of 3 years, in such circumstances, the pay of the seniors in the higher post may be stepped up to that of the said junior from the date on which the said junior draw such higher pay, as a special case, subject to the fulfilment of other conditions prescribed."

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

★ ★ ★

**Memo.No.57266/CI/95-2, SECRETARIAT BRANCH, dated 30.9.95.**

Sub: Establishment - Tamil Nadu Electricity Board - Workmen - Revision of scales of pay and allowances from 1.12.92 - Anomaly of junior getting more pay than senior in the Revised scales of pay - Rectification- ordered - Amendment issued.

Ref: (Per.) B.P.(FB) No.5, (SB), dt.25.1.94.

According the provision in Regulation 6(1) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Revised Scales of pay (Workmen) Regulations 1994, in case a senior employee promoted to a higher post prior to 1.12.92, draws lesser pay in the revised scale of pay than his junior who was promoted to a higher post on or after 1.12.92, the pay of the senior employee may be stepped up on par with that of junior with effect from the date from which the junior draws more pay subject to the conditions prescribed thereunder.

2. In this connection a point has arisen as to how to rectify such anomalies in the case of a senior in the lower post who has relinquished his right for promotion for a period of 3 years. During this 3 years period of relinquishment, selection grade would have been given and periodical increments also granted to the employees and after the expiry of this 3 year period, the employee is promoted to higher post after 1.12.92. Consequently, the employee became junior in the higher post but will draw higher rates of pay. The senior in the higher post (who was junior in the lower post) may therefore claim refixation of pay on par with that of this junior.

3. The consideration is whether in such case the pay of the senior employee in the promoted post can be stepped up to that of the junior from the date from which the junior in the promoted post draws more pay under Rule 6(1) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Revised Scale of pay (Workmen) Regulation 1994 by the appointing authority if the prescribed conditions are satisfied.

4. After careful consideration it is clarified that a senior employee in the lower post who has relinquished his right for promotion for a period of 3 years and then promoted to higher post after the expiry of the period of 3 years becomes junior in the higher post. Consequent to which the said junior happened to draw more pay in the higher post due to fixation of pay taking into account of the periodical increments granted and movement to selection grade, if any in the lower post during the relinquished period of 3 years. It is therefore ordered that in such circumstances, the pay of the seniors in the higher post may be stepped up to that of the said junior from the date on which the said junior happened to draw more pay than the seniors in the higher post by applying Rule 6(1) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Revised scale of pay (Workmen) Regulation 1994 as a special case, subject to the fulfilment of other conditions prescribed therein.

5. In exercise of the powers conferred by section 79(c) of the Electricity (Supply) Act 1948 (Central Act 54 of 1948) the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board hereby makes the following amendment to the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Revised scales of pay (workmen) Regulations 1994 contained in para 6 of the (Per) Board's Proceedings (FB) No.5 (SB) dated 25.1.94.

**AMENDMENT**

In the said Regulations, the existing Note under Regulations 8(1) may be corrected as Note 1 and the following shall be added after that:-

"Note (2) In case, the senior in the lower post has relinquished his right for promotion for a period of 3 years and then promoted to higher post on expiry of the 3 years period after 1.12.92 and became junior in the higher post happened to draw more pay than his seniors in the higher post due to fixation of pay taking into account of the periodical increments granted and movement to selection grade in the lower post during the relinquished period of 3 years, in such circumstances, the pay of the seniors in the higher post may be stepped up to that of the said junior from the date on which the said junior draw such higher pay, as a special case, subject to the fulfillment of other conditions prescribed.

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

Memo.No.33281/C1/95-1, SECRETARIAT BRANCH, dated 30.09.1995.

Sub: Establishment - Tamil Nadu Electricity Board - Workmen Revised Scales of pay 1992 - Option to come over to revised scale of pay on date of appointment by internal selection on a date between 1.12.92 and 31.12.93 - Orders - Issued.

Ref: 1. (per) B.P.(FB) No.5 (SB) dt.25.01.94.  
2. (Per) B.P.(Ch.) No.348 (SB) dt.19.12.94.

. . .

According to the orders issued in para (4) (2)(B) of the B.P. first cited, in the case of employee of the Board who were appointed to the service in the Board for the first time on or after 1.12.92 and before 31.12.93, their emoluments on the date of joining service shall constitute the emoluments and the revised pay shall be fixed in the revised scale at such level, by ensuring the minimum benefit of Rs.250/- between the pay and dearness allowance in the revised scale and the pay and dearness allowance in the pre-revised scale. By virtue of this, an employee appointed for the first time on or after 1.12.92 and before 31.12.93 gets higher fixation of pay than a person who was already in service of the Board on 1.12.92 and then appointed by internal selection to the same post (as that of the directly recruited person) during the period between 1.12.92 to 31.12.93. To redress this anomalous position the Board in its order second cited, permitted the employees of the Board who were internally appointed, to exercise an option to come over to the Revised Scale of Pay 1992 on the date of appointment to the post by internal selection, if such date falls between 1.12.92 and 31.12.93.

2. Instances have been brought to notice, wherein orders for appointment to internal selection to employees were issued prior to 31.12.93; but due to administrative delay in the issue of the orders of reporting/relief due to training, some of the employees joined duty after the stipulated date mentioned in para 1 above, thereby they became ineligible to exercise the option permitted in the Board's Proceedings second cited, for no fault of them. This has resulted in certain seniors getting lower fixation of pay in 1992 wage revision, than the junior who joined earlier in the internally selected post and availed the option benefit provided in the orders mentioned in para 1 above.

3. To overcome the hardship of such seniors, it is hereby ordered that the orders issued in the B.P. second cited shall also be made applicable in the case of employees for whom the first notification of appointment by internal selection is issued between 1.12.92 to 31.12.93 and the late joining was only due to bonafide administrative reason. Such delay should not have been caused by the employee himself by proceeding on leave at his option and therefore joined late or otherwise.

4. The Chief Engineers concerned are authorized to accept the revised option from such of the employees, as a special case, duly certifying that the date of first appointment orders of the internal selection was before 31.12.93 and that the late joining of the employee concerned was only due to bonafide administrative

reason. After obtaining orders from the respective Chief Engineers, the pay fixation authority shall refix the pay of the employees by ensuring the minimum benefit of fixation ordered in the Board proceedings first cited and the monetary benefit of fixation may be allowed from the actual date of joining duty in the internally selected post and the subsequent increment regulated after one year of qualifying service from the date of joining duty in the appointed post, subject to quarterly advancement.

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

\* \* \*

**Letter No.65622/C1/95-1, SECRETARIAT BRANCH, Dated 30.09.95.**

Sub: Interim Relief - grant of Interim Relief to employees of State Government - certain clarification issued by Government - copy communicated.

- Ref: 1. G.O.Ms.No.1 Finance (Pay Cell) Department, dt.3.1.94.  
2. Board's Lr.No.2124/C1/93-1, dt.12.1.94.  
3. Government Finance (Pay Cell) Department Lr.No.28968/PC/94-1 Finance dt.3.5.94.  
4. Board's Lr.No.35648/C1/94-1, dt.24.5.94.  
5. Government Finance (Pay Cell) Department Lr.No.93260/PC/94-1, dt. 15.11.94.  
6. Board's Lr.No.82770/C1/94-1, dt.7.12.94.  
7. Government Finance (PC) Department Lr.No.78605/PC/95-1, dt.14.9.95

. . .

I am to enclose a copy of the Government Order seventh cited for guidance.

2. The said Government Order is applicable in the case of deputationist from the State Government working in the Board and drawing the Government scale of pay.

Encl:-

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

**COPY OF GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU**

**Letter No.78605/PC/95-1, FINANCE (PC) DEPARTMENT, dated 14.9.95.**

From  
Thiru A.Pinagapani, B.A., B.L.,  
Additional Secretary to Government.  
Fort St.George  
Madras -600 009.

To  
All Departments of Secretariat, All Heads of Department,  
The Accountant General (A&E), Madras-18, The Accountant General (Audit-I), Madras-35, The Accountant General (Audit-II), Madras-18, The Accountant General (CAB), Madras-9, The Director of Treasuries and Accounts, Madras-15, The Pay and Accounts Officer, Madras-5/35/79/9/Madurai and All Treasury Officers.

- Sub: Interim Relief - Payment of Interim Relief to certain staff appointed after 1.1.94 on temporary basis - Eligibility - Clarification - Issued.
- Ref: 1. G.O.Ms.No.1, Finance (PC) Department, dated 3.1.94.  
2. Tamilaga Arambappalli Asriyar Kootani representation, dated 7.9.95.

I am to invite your attention to the references cited and to state that the employees appointed regularly or under rule 10(a)(i) of general rules and on redeployment basis as new entrants on or after 1.1.94 are eligible for the Interim Relief sanctioned in the Government order cited.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/- x x x x x x x x x x

ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

//TRUE COPY //

Memorandum (Permanent) No.13002/O&M-I(1)/95-1, SECRETARIAT BRANCH, dated 30-9-1995.

- Sub: Establishment - Tamil Nadu Electricity Board - Change of Administrative control of Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Printing Press from Superintending Engineer/Madras Electricity Distribution Circle/North to the control of Superintending Engineer/General Construction Circle/Madras - Orders- Issued.
- Ref: i. B.P.(FB) No.125 (S.B.) dt.9.12.93.  
ii. From the Chief Engineer/Planning U.O.Note No.112/IMCS/91-2 dt.22.2.95.

The In-house Management Consultancy Services took up study on improving the performance of Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Printing Press and made certain recommendations. One of the recommendations is changing the administrative control of Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Press from Superintending Engineer/Madras Electricity Distribution Circle/North to the control of Superintending Engineer/General Construction Circle/Madras.

2. After careful consideration, it is hereby ordered that the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Printing Press now functioning under the administrative control of Superintending Engineer/Madras Electricity Distribution Circle/North shall be transferred and placed under the administrative control of Superintending Engineer/General Construction Circle/Madras with effect from 1.10.1995. The Technical control of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Printing Press will however continue to be under Chief Engineer/Mechanical (Thermal Stations).

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

M. SUBRAMANIAN,  
SECRETARY.

**FINANCE****PART - III****FINANCE**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD - Industrial Development Bank of India (IDBI) - Small Industries Development Bank of India (SIDBI) - Bills Rediscounting Scheme - Proposal for availing of Credit limit for year 1995-96 - Approved**

(Permanent) B.P.(F.B.)No.27

(Accounts Branch)

Dt.29.9.1995.

Purattasi-12, YUVA,

Thiruvalluvar Aandu 2026.

READ:

- (I) Per B.P.(FB) No.25 (Accounts Branch), Dated 26.9.1994.
- (II) Item No.10 of the Minutes of the 733rd Meeting held on 08.09.1995.

**PROCEEDINGS:**

**Tamil Nadu Electricity Board approved the following:**

1. To seek credit limit of Rs.50 Crores (Principal plus Interest) (Rupees Fifty Crores only) under Industrial Development Bank of India and Rs.35 Crores (Rupees Thirty Five Crores only) (Principal plus Interest) under Small Industries Development Bank of India under "Bills Rediscounting Scheme" for the financial year 1995-96 as per the terms and conditions of Industrial Development Bank of India and Small Industries Development Bank of India. Further limit as and when required are to be arranged with Industrial Development Bank of India and Small Industries Development Bank of India.

2. The Financial Controller/Purchase and Deputy Financial Controller/Tender be authorised singly to sign and execute all documents relating to the "Bills Rediscounting Scheme" on behalf of the Board offered by Industrial Development Bank of India/Small Industries Development Bank of India.

3. To request the Government of Tamil Nadu to give permission and guarantee for the repayment of Principal plus Interest in respect of Credit limit of Rs.50 Crores (Rupees Fifty Crores only) (Principal plus Interest) under Industrial Development Bank of India and Rs.35 Crores (Rupees Thirty five Crores only) (Principal plus Interest) under Small Industries Development Bank of India for the financial year 1995-96.

(BY ORDER OF THE BOARD)

**BRIJESHWAR SINGH,  
ACCOUNTS MEMBER.**

**TECHNICAL****PART - IV****TECHNICAL**

Circular Memo.No.SE/IEMC/EE3/AEE2/F.Billing 145/D.257/95, TECHNICAL BRANCH, Dated 5-9-95.

Sub: Elecy. - Disconnected service - Monthly minimum charges for the period of extension of time permitted for the termination of agreement - Instructions - Reg.

Ref:1. M(D)'s Circular Memo.No.SE/IEMC/EE3/AEE2/F.Billing.110/D.51/95/dated 23-2-95.

2. Permanent B.P.(FB) No.120, dated 20-4-95.

. . .

Of late, it is seen that the three months notice for termination of agreement after three months from the date of disconnection is not being issued promptly. In some cases, it takes months together resulting in consumers representing for billing as if the service is under disconnection.

All Superintending Engineers/Elecy.Distr. Circle's are therefore requested to issue three months notice for termination of agreement immediately and promptly without any loss of time after expiry of three months from the date of disconnection.

The Superintending Engineers/Elecy.Distr.Circles are also requested to review the cases of disconnected services for more than three months and to ensure the issue of the notice of termination of agreement without any further lapse of time. At the expiry of the period of notice of termination of agreement, necessary dismantling estimates may be got prepared and the accounts of the service closed with least delay.

Further any extension of time granted as per the request of the consumer for the termination of agreement beyond the expiry date of three months notice period has to be treated as services disconnected at the request of the consumer and it should be brought under clause 28.00 of Terms and Conditions of supply of electricity. For the above cases, the monthly minimum charges applicable as per the Clause 28.00 of Terms and Conditions of supply of electricity as per the clarification issued in the Circular dated 23-2-95 under reference (1) and the Permanent B.P.(FB) No.120, dated 20-4-95 has only to be collected from the date of expiry of the three months notice period of termination of agreement. The above instructions will come into effect from 23-2-95 as the clarification with regard to collection of monthly minimum charges for the services disconnected as per Clause 28.00 of Terms and Conditions of supply of electricity has been issued in the Circular dated 23-2-95.

Any lapse from this instructions will be viewed seriously.

A.P.MUTHUSWAMI,  
CHAIRMAN.

\* \* \*

Memo.No.SE/IEMC/EE3/AEE2/AE/F.V.40/D.402/95, Technical Branch, Dated 6-9-95.

Sub: Elecy. - Effecting of mere agriculture service connection - Pending improvement works - Instructions - Regarding

Ref: Circular memo.No.SE/IEMC/EE3/AEE2/AE/FV.40/D.382/95 dated 26-8-95.

. . .

In continuation to the Circular memo. dated 26-8-95 cited under reference, the field officers are informed that the above instructions will be applicable only for the cases of on line-one pole services for which 45 days notices are to be issued after the date of issue of the Circular.

A.DURAIRAJ,  
MEMBER (DISTRIBUTION).

Memorandum No.07405/IEMC/EE1/F.Elec.meter/C.382/D.110/95, Technical Branch, dt. 11-9-95.

Sub: Acceptance of consumer meters- Instructions - Issued.

Ref: Memo.No.SE/IEMC/EE3/AEE2/F.Acceptance of consumer Meter/D.141/95/dt.16-5-95.

Instructions were issued in the Memorandum cited under reference to accept three phase energy meters from the consumers in view of the increase in demand for these meters and the supply position is not commensurate with this demand. It is represented that at some places the field officers are insisting on particular make of meters only.

The Superintending Engineers are informed that whenever single phase and three phase energy meters are accepted from prospective consumers the procedure outlined in circular Memo.No.17/SE/IEMC/PO.(T)/Tariff I (2)/84-5/dt.11-10-89 which is reiterated below, should be followed.

1. The meter supplied by the party should be given along with cash receipt for the purchase.
2. It should be ensured that the meter is a new one conforming to ISI.
3. The meters should be tested by MRT free of cost and accepted only if it is alright.
4. If the meter is found to be defective the same has to be returned to the party under acknowledgement. The subsequent replacement meters supplied by the party should be got tested by MRT after collecting necessary testing charges.
5. The acceptance of the meters should be done only if there is no stock of meter.
6. Wherever the meters are supplied by the consumers supply may be effected by overlooking the priority.
7. The refund of cost should be made to the consumer promptly without delay.

A.DURAIRAJ,  
MEMBER (DISTRIBUTION).

\* \* \*

Lr.No.CE/TA/EE/TA/MDS/F.Sample approval committee/D.10/95,dtd.18.8.95./12.9.95.

Sub: Technical Audit - Technical scrutiny of P.O. Dockets in General Construction Circle - Approval of sample - Formation of "Sample Approval Committee" - Instructions - Issued.

1. At present, "Sample Approval Committees" are not functioning in General Construction Circles and only one

individual officer, namely AEE/TLC or AEE/S.S. Erection etc. depending upon the nature of the materials being ordered are allowed to examine such samples and give their reports. Entrusting this work to a single individual officer and approving his decision may give room to complaints.

2. In order to obviate the above contingency, the following procedure, as approved by the Chairman may be followed:

a) A Committee comprising of the following officers in each General Construction Circle may be formed so as to check every sample and decide its suitability taking into account, the earlier performance of the company:-

1. Executive Engineer/Substation Erection.

B. G. 10 (Sep-95)

2. Assistant Executive Engineer/Transmission Line Construction at Headquarters.
3. Assistant Executive Engineer/Transformer Erection at Headquarters.

The above procedure will enable effective examination of sample with reference to the specifications stipulated in the tender enquiry. Based on the committee's approval of the sample received, the decision regarding placing of Purchase Orders shall be done quickly by the Tender Committee.

b) After placing the purchase order, the approved samples should be sent immediately to the Central Stores of the Circles for safe custody. The same should be produced before the officer who comes for check measurement of the bulk supply. The check measurement shall be done with reference to the technical specifications given in the Purchase Order as well as the approved sample.

3) All the Superintending Engineers, General Construction Circles are requested to form the Sample Approval Committee based on the above guidelines and confirm the formation to the Chief Engineer/Technical Audit-I/Madras, within a month.

The receipt of this letter may be acknowledged immediately.

V.R.THIMMARAYAPPAN,  
CHIEF ENGINEER, TECHNICAL AUDIT-I.

\* \* \*

**Electricity - Terms and Conditions of Supply of Electricity - Review of Additional Current Consumption Deposit in respect of H.T. Industries covered by Tariff Concession - Revised instructions Issued.**

(Permanent) B.P.(Ch.) No.277,

TECHNICAL BRANCH,

Dated 15.9.1995.

Aavani 30, Yuva,

Thiruvalluvar Aandu 2026.

Read:

- 1) (Permanent) B.P.(Ch.) No.143(Technical Branch) dated 29.07.89.

#### **Proceedings:**

Some of the field officers have suggested that Current Consumption Deposit in respect of H.T. Industries covered by tariff concessions shall be collected based on the gross current consumption charges (ie. without deducting the tariff concession) as it is very difficult for the Board to collect the arrears if the service is disconnected after the concession period since the Current Consumption Deposit which is collected based on the nett Current Consumption charges during tariff concession period will not be sufficient to cover 100% Current Consumption charges which is to be paid by the consumer after the concession period.

After careful examination of the above, the following instructions are issued in suppression of the instructions issued in the B.P. read above.

The Current Consumption Deposit in respect of all H.T. Industries including industries covered by tariff concession should be kept one and half times the average monthly gross current consumption charges paid or payable by the consumer (ie.) (without deducting the tariff concession amount) subject to the minimum of Initial Current Consumption Deposit and the balance if any required for the above amount will have to be demanded as Additional Current Consumption Deposit and collected. The revised instructions as above shall come into force with effect from the Review in 1996 onwards.

(BY ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN)

A.DURAIRAJ,  
MEMBER (DISTRIBUTION).



U.O.No.SE/TA/EE/TA/MDS/SDM/F.Gen./D.No.12/95, dt.19.9.95.

Sub: Devolution of released materials - Instructions issued - Publication in Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Gazette - Regarding

Ref: Lr.No.CE/TA/EE/TA/MDS/F./G/D.6/95, dt.29.3.95.

The Instructions issued to all Superintending Engineers/Electricity Distribution Circle under reference cited, regarding devolution of released materials, may be arranged to be published in the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Gazette as desired by I.G.P./Vigilance.

Encl:-

K.DEVARAJAN,  
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER/TECHNICAL AUDIT.

Copy of Lr.No.CE/TA/EE/TA/MDS/F.G./D.6/95, dated 29.3.95

Sub: Devolution of released materials - Instructions issued.

Ref: 1. U.O.Note No.29566-VC1/94-1 dt.7.11.94 from IGP/Vigilance.  
2. This office Lr.No.CE/TA/EE/TA/F.G./D.2/94, dt.16.12.94.  
3. U.O.Note No.29566-VC.1/94-3, dt.20.1.95 from I.G.P./Vigilance.

It has been observed during inspections in various places, that damaged R.C.C.poles released were not broken and grills separated immediately by the field officers. The released poles are left at site, concrete broken at a convenient time in a leisurely manner and grill transported only on a transport is available.

Under the above circumstances, it is noted that avoidable loss of M.S.grills scrap is taking place often. The only way to overturn the situation is to ensure that grills from the damaged poles released, are separated out as early as possible and the grill scrap is transported to the Section Office on the same day itself, stored there and then devoluted to stores as and when a lorry is available.

These Instructions may be widely circulated upto the field officers so that loss of grills scrap does not occur further.

J.K.SUBRAMANIAN,  
CHIEF ENGINEER/TECHNICAL AUDIT.

\* \* \*

Electricity - Availing power from Neyveli Thermal Station-I expansion - Signing of Memorandum of Understanding with Neyveli Lignite Corporation by Chairman/Tamil Nadu Electricity Board - Approved

(Per.) B.P.(FB) No.341

Technical Branch

Dated 19.9.95

Purattas 2, Yuva,

Thiruvalluvar Aandu 2026.

Minutes of 733rd Meeting held on 8.9.95.

#### PROCEEDINGS

Tamil Nadu Electricity Board noted that the Central Electricity Authority (CEA) have technoeconomically cleared the Neyveli TS-I expansion project (2x210 MW) to be implemented by M/s.Neyveli Lignite Corporation (NLC) at a cost of Rs.1590.58 Crs. and that both the units are programmed to be commissioned by the year 2000

A.D. The lignite for this power house is to be excavated from coal mine-I expansion and the surplus capacity available at mine-II Stages I and II of NLC. The cost per MW works out to Rs.3.79 Crs. and the cost per unit works out to Rs.2.57/KWh. Public Investment Board (PIB) have indicated that the project would be cleared, only after NLC obtains a firm and unqualified commitment from the beneficiary Electricity Boards to the formula to be adopted for fixation of tariff in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU). Hence NLC approached TNEB to sign the MoU in this regard.

The allocation of power from this power house will be fixed by CEA as per the norms and Tamil Nadu would likely get 168 MW.

The following have been incorporated in the MoU as required by PIB.

1. The norms and parameters and the methodology, to be adopted for working out the tariff shall be as per the notification in the Gazette of India - Extraordinary - Part-II - Section-3 - Subsection (ii) dated 31.3.92 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Power and Non-Conventional Energy Sources, Department of Power and the norms issued by Government of India, CEA, New Delhi vide Memo.No.1-3(2)/95 - Secy. dated 2.3.95 for lignite power stations, as amended from time to time.

2. For working out the input cost of lignite, the weighted average of the transfer prices fixed for lignite in respect of second mine and Mine - I expansion (pooled price) shall be taken as per the methodology illustrated in the Annexure to the MoU, which forms integral part of MoU.

The Board authorises Chairman / Tamil Nadu Electricity Board to sign the MoU with NLC on behalf of Tamil Nadu Electricity Board.

(BY ORDER OF THE BOARD)

S.R.KRISHNAMURTHY,  
CHIEF ENGINEER/PLANNING.

\* \* \*

**Circular Memo.No.SE/IEMC/EE3/AEE1/F.TNPCB/D.503/95, TECHNICAL BRANCH, Dt. 19.9.95.**

Sub: Elec. - Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board - Procedure for service connection to L.T./H.T. industries under "Red Category".

. . .

All Superintending Engineers of Elec. Distn. Circle are requested to adopt the following procedure regarding extension of supply to Low Tension/ High Tension industries categorised under "Red Category" (highly polluting industries as per G.O.Ms.No.17E & F dated 10-4-84) and Government letter dated 9-4-92. (copies of the same are enclosed for ready reference).

i) The applications for service connection for LT/HT industries under "Red Category" shall be received only on production of letter of "consent to establish" issued by Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board along with the application by the prospective consumer.

ii) Further, the supply to such industries shall be effected only on production of the letter of "consent to operate" issued by Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board by the prospective consumer.

The above instructions shall be followed scrupulously.

Failure to follow the above instructions will be viewed seriously.

Encl:-

A.P.MUTHUSWAMI,  
CHAIRMAN.

இணைப்பு :

## தமிழ்நாடு அரசு (சுருக்கம்)

கற்றுப்புற சூழல் கட்டுப்பாடு — நீர் (மாக தடுப்பு மற்றும் கட்டுப்பாடு) சட்டம் 1974 மற்றும் காற்று (மாக தடுப்பு மற்றும் கட்டுப்பாடு) சட்டம் 1981-ன் கீழ் எந்த தொழிற்சாலை அமைப்பதற்கும் கட்டிட உரிமம் மற்றும் தொழிலதிபர்களை மாக கட்டுப்பாடு வாரியத்திடமிருந்து பெற்று ஒப்புதலை காட்டும்படி வற்புறுத்துதல் — ஆணை வழங்கப்படுகிறது

### கற்றுப்புற சூழல் கட்டுப்பாடு துறை

அரசு ஆணை(நிலை) எண். 17

நாள் 10 ஏப்ரல் 1984

பங்குனி 28— தீரோத்

காரி 2014, திருவள்ளூர் ஆண்டு

ஆணை:

தொழிற்சாலைகளிலிருந்து வெளிப்படும் கழிவுகளை நீரோடைகள் கிணறு (அதாவது அரசால் அறிவிக்கப்பட்டுள்ள எண்ணுக்குட்பட்டுள்ள ஆறு மற்றும் நீர் நிலைகள், பூமி மற்றும் பூமிக்கடியில் உள்ள நீர் மற்றும் கடல் உட்பட) இவற்றில் கலக்க ஏதுவாகும்படி வெளியேற்றும் அனைத்து தொழிற்சாலைக்கும் நீர் (மாக தடுப்பு மற்றும் கட்டுப்பாடு) சட்டம் 1974-ன் கீழ் அடங்கும். அத்தொழிற்சாலைகள் கழிவுகளை வெளியேற்ற தமிழ்நாடு மாக கட்டுப்பாடு வாரியத்தில் இசைவைப் (Consent) பெற வேண்டும்.

2. இதேபோல், காற்று (மாக தடுப்பு மற்றும் கட்டுப்பாடு) சட்டம் 1981-ன் கீழ் 20 வகை தொழிற்சாலைகள் தமிழ்நாடு மாக கட்டுப்பாடு வாரியத்திடமிருந்து இசைவைப் பெறவேண்டும்.

3. ஊரக வளர்ச்சி மற்றும் உள்ளாட்சித் துறையில் 3.2.1983ம் நாளிட்ட அரசாணை எண்.148ன்படி உள்ளாட்சி மன்றங்கள், தொழிலதிபர்கள் தொழிற்சாலைக்கான உரிமத்திற்காக விண்ணப்பிக்கும்போதே தொழிற்சாலையிலிருந்து கழிவுகள் வெளியேற்றப்படுவதற்கு தமிழ்நாடு மாக கட்டுப்பாடு வாரியத்திடமிருந்து ஒப்புதல் பெற்று அத்துடன் இணைக்க வழிவகுத்த வேண்டும். மேற்கண்ட ஆணையில் உரிமம் என்பது தொழில் உரிமத்தை மட்டுமே குறிக்கிறது. கட்டிட உரிமம் வழங்குமுன் தமிழ்நாடு மாக கட்டுப்பாடு வாரியத்தின் இசைவைக் கேட்க வேண்டுமா என்று பரிசீலிக்கப்பட்டது. தொழில் உரிமத்திற்காக விண்ணப்பிக்கப்படும் போதே கட்டிடம் கட்டி முடிக்கப்பட்டு இருக்கும். ஆகையால் மாக கட்டுப்பாடு வாரியம் குறிப்பிட்டுள்ள வரையறைக்குக்கேற்ப குறுகிய காலத்தில் கழிவுகள் சுத்திகரிக்கும் அமைப்பு அல்லது இயந்திரம் ஏற்படுத்த இயலாமலிருக்கலாம். எனவே, இத் தொழிற்சாலை அமைக்க திட்டமிடும் போதே அதாவது உள்ளாட்சி மன்றங்களால் கட்டிட உரிமம் வழங்கப்படும் முன்னரே, சிலவகை தொழிற்சாலைகள் தமிழ்நாடு மாக கட்டுப்பாடு வாரியத்தின் ஒப்புதலைப் பெறுவது அவசியமாகிறது.

4. ஆகவே, கட்டிட விதிகளின் கீழ், மாநகராட்சிகள், நகரமன்றங்கள் மற்றும் உள்ளாட்சி மன்றங்கள் தொழிற்சாலைகள் கட்டுவதற்கான கட்டிட வாரியத்திற்கான (Building Licence) விண்ணப்பத்தை பெறும்போதே அல்லது உரிமம் வழங்கும் இவ்வாணையில் இணைப்பில் குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ள தொழிற்சாலைகளைப் பொறுத்தவரையில் தமிழ்நாடு மாக கட்டுப்பாடு வாரியத்திடமிருந்து பெறப்பட்ட ஒப்புதலையும் இணைக்குமாறு கேட்டுக்கொள்ள வேண்டும் என ஆணை பிறப்பிக்கப்படுகிறது.

5. மாக கட்டுப்பாடுச் சட்டங்களுக்குக் கீழ் பழைய மற்றும் புதிய தொழிற்சாலைகள் மாக கட்டுப்பாடு வாரியத்திடமிருந்து பெற வேண்டிய இசைவு இவ்வாணையால் பாதிக்கப்படமாட்டாது.

(ஆளுநரின் ஆணைப்படி)

மு.அகமது,

ஆணையாளர் மற்றும் செயலாளர்.

இணைப்பு

[உண்மை நகல்]

## இணைப்பு

1. சாராய வடிதொழிற்சாலைகள்
2. மிருக மற்றும் தாவரயினப் பொருட்களைப்பதனிடும் தொழிற்சாலைகள்  
(தோல் பதனிடுதல், ஜவ்வரிசி, பசை, சர்க்கரை மற்றும் பால் பண்ணைத் தொழிற்சாலைகள் உட்பட)
3. உரத் தொழிற்சாலைகள்
4. மரக்கூழ் மற்றும் காகிதம் தயாரிக்கும் தொழிற்சாலைகள்  
(கையினால் தயாரிக்கப்படும் காகிதங்கள் உட்பட)
5. இரசாயனத் தொழிற்சாலைகள்
6. நில எண்ணை (Petroleum) சுத்திகரிப்பு ஆலை
7. துணியாலைகள் (சாய்விடுதல் மற்றும் வெளுப்பாலைகள் உட்பட)
8. இரும்பு உலைக்கூடம் (மின் மூலம் பூசுதல், வெப்ப சுத்திகரிப்பு இயந்திரம் உட்பட)
9. மண்பாண்டத் தொழிற்சாலை
10. அனல்மின் நிலையங்கள்
11. சிமிண்ட் தொழிற்சாலைகள்
12. மருந்து தயாரிக்கும் தொழிற்சாலைகள்
13. வர்ணம் மற்றும் மெருகு எண்ணை (Varnish) தயாரிக்கும் தொழிற்சாலைகள்
14. கரைப்பான் (Solvent) தயாரிக்கும் தொழிற்சாலை
15. வாகனங்களுக்கு உதிரி பாகங்கள் தயாரிக்கும் தொழிற்சாலைகள்
16. பூச்சி மற்றும் களைக்கொல்லி மருந்து தயாரிக்கும் தொழிற்சாலைகள்
17. வார்ப்புத் தொழிற்சாலைகள்
18. கல்நார் (Asbestos) தயாரிக்கும் தொழிற்சாலைகள்

மு.அகமது,  
ஆணையாளர் மற்றும் செயலாளர்.

||உண்மை நகல்||

நகல் :

**தமிழ்நாடு அரசு**  
சுற்றுப்புறம் — வனத்துறை

கடித எண்.41268/சு1/91 —1/நாள் 9.4.1992

விடுநர்

திரு கே. சாமிவேல் எம்.ஏ., பி.எல்., டி. எல். எல். ஏ. எல்.,  
அரசு துணைச்செயலாளர்.

பெறுநர்

தலைவர், தமிழ்நாடு மாசு கட்டுப்பாடு வாரியம்,  
32, சாந்தோம் நெடுஞ்சாலை, சென்னை— 4.

செயலாளர்,

சென்னை பெருநகர் அபிவிருத்தி ஆணைக்குழு,  
சென்னை.

ஆணையர்,

சென்னை மாநகராட்சி,  
சென்னை— 3.

நகர மற்றும் ஊரமைப்பு இயக்குநர்,  
சென்னை 2.

ஆணையர்,  
நகராட்சிகள் நிர்வாகம், சென்னை-5.

நகராட்சி நிர்வாகம் மற்றும் குடிநீர் வடிகால் துறை,  
சென்னை-9.

ஊரக வளர்ச்சித்துறை,  
சென்னை-9.

வீட்டுவசதி மற்றும் நகர்ப்புற அபிவிருத்தித்துறை,  
சென்னை-9.

அய்யா,

பொருள்: சுற்றுப்புற சூழல் கட்டுப்பாடு -நீர் (மாக தடுப்பு மற்றும் கட்டுப்பாடு சட்டம் 1974 மற்றும் கட்டுப்பாடு) சட்டம் 1981ன் கீழ் எந்த தொழிற்சாலை அமைப்பதற்கும் கட்டிட உரிமம் வழங்குமுன் தொழிலதிபர்கள் தமிழ்நாடு மாக கட்டுப்பாடு வாரியத்தின் ஒப்புதல் பெற வேண்டும் - ஆணைக்கு திருத்தம் வெளியிடல்

பார்வை : 1. அரசு ஆணை (நிலை) எண். 17, சுற்றுப்புற சூழல் கட்டுப்பாடுத்துறை, நாள் 10.4.1984.

2. தமிழ்நாடு மாக கட்டுப்பாடு வாரியத் தலைவரின் கடித எண். டி.எஸ். IV/சி.என்/எப்.07/90/நாள் 7.10.91.

அரசு ஆணை (நிலை) எண்.17 சுற்றுப்புறச் சூழல் கட்டுப்பாடுத்துறை, நாள் 10.4.1984க்கு கீழ்க்காணும் திருத்தங்கள் வெளியிடப்படுகிறது என்பதைத் தெரிவிக்க பணிக்கப்பட்டுள்ளேன்

### திருத்தங்கள்

1. மேற்காணும் அரசு ஆணையின் இணைப்பில் குறிப்பிட்டுள்ள தொழிற்சாலைகளுடன் கீழ்க்கண்ட நான்கு தொழிற்சாலைகளும் சேர்த்துக் கொள்ளப்பட வேண்டும்

1. நில எண்ணெய் இரசாயன பொருட்கள் (Petro-chemical products)

20. நில எண்ணெய்-மண இரசாயன பொருட்கள் (Aromatic Petro-chemicals)

21. செயற்கை இழை நிறுவனங்கள் (Man made fibre units)

22. புகைப்படச் சுருள் உற்பத்தி நிறுவனங்கள் (Photo film manufacturing units)

2. இணைப்பில் வரிசை எண்.7ல் உள்ள துணியாலைகள் (சாயமிடுதல் மற்றும் வெளுப்பாலைகள் உள்பட) என்ற வார்த்தைகளுக்குப் பதிலாக துணி சாயமிடுதல் மற்றும் வெளுப்பு ஆலைகள் (Textile, Dyeing and Bleaching unit) என்று சேர்க்கப்படவேண்டும்.

(என்.ஆர்.இராதாகிருஷ்ணன்)  
அரசு துணைச்செயலாளருக்காக.

//உண்மை நகல்//

Memo. No.SE/IEMC/EE/T/AEE1/AE2/PHC&Mpl Hospital/CR.07862/94-8,(Tech.Br.),dated 21.9.1995.

Sub: Electricity - Application of Government Hospital Tariff to Primary Health Centres, Health Sub-Centres, Veterinary Hospitals, Leprosy sub-centres and hospitals under the control of Municipalities/Corporation/Panchayat Union - Reg.

- Ref: 1. B.P.(FB) No.128/Tech. Branch/dt.6.5.94.  
 2. B.P.(FB) No.173/Tech.Branch/dt.18.6.94.  
 3. G.O.Ms.No.141, Energy (A2) Dept.dt.1.9.95.

In the B.P. cited under reference (1) orders of the Board has been issued to apply L.T.Tariff VII in respect of Primary Health Centres and Health Sub-Centres on par with Government Hospitals.

In the B.P. cited under reference (2) orders of the Board has been issued to apply H.T.TariffII/L.T.TariffVII as the case may be to the Hospitals under the control of Municipality/Corporation/Panchayat Union, Government Veterinary hospital and Leprosy Sub-Centres.

Even after issue of the above B.Ps the Audit Parties were objecting for levy of H.T. Tariff II/L.T. Tariff VII in respect of the above services and stressed for issue of Government order.

Now based on the proposal sent to the Government, the Government has issued orders vide the G.O. cited under reference (3) amending the schedule to the Tamil Nadu Revision of Tariff rates on supply of Electrical Energy Act 1978.

A copy of the above G.O. is enclosed herewith for implementation.

A.P.MUTHUSWAMI,  
 CHAIRMAN.

Encl:  
 COPY OF:

## GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

### ABSTRACT

Electricity - Amendment to the schedule to the Tamil Nadu Revision of Tariff rates on supply of Electrical Energy Act 1978 - Issued.

G.O.(Ms.) No.141

ENERGY (A2) DEPARTMENT

Dated 1.9.1995.

Read:

1. G.O.(Ms.) No.29, Energy (A2) Department, Dt.31/1/1995.
2. From the Director of Medical and Rural Health Services  
 Lr. No.R.No.21845/PHCS.VI/A1/93/dt.11-3-95.
3. From the Chairman, Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,  
 Lr. No.SE/IEMC/EE/T/AEE1/AE2/CR.7862/94/Dated 22-8-94.
4. From Chairman,Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,  
 D.O Lr. No.SE/IEMC/EE/T/AEE1/AE2/PGC.and MPL.Hospitals/CR.7862/94- 4/dt.11-7-95.

### ORDER

In the letter 2nd read above, the Director of Medical and Rural Health Services has requested to charge domestic tariff for public health centres and health sub-centres instead of commercial tariff. The Chairman, Tamil Nadu Electricity Board has also sent proposals for charging the Primary Health centres, Health Sub-centres,

Veterinary Hospitals, Leprosy sub-centres, and Hospitals under the control of Municipalities, Corporations, Panchayat Unions, under High tension Tariff II/Low Tension Tariff-VII.

2. The Government after careful consideration have decided to accept the proposal of the Director of Medical and Rural Health services and Chairman, Tamil Nadu Electricity Board and the

Government accordingly direct that the power supplied to Primary Health centres, Health sub-centres, Veterinary hospitals, Leprosy sub-centres, and Hospitals under the control of Municipalities, Corporations, Panchayat Unions be charged under High Tension Tariff II/Low Tension tariff VII as the case may be and issue necessary amendments to the schedule to the Tamil Nadu Revision of tariff rates on supply of Electrical Energy act, 1978 (Tamil Nadu Act 1 of 1979).

3. The following notification will be published in an Extraordinary issue of the Tamil Nadu Government Gazette dated 1st September 1995.

## NOTIFICATION

In exercise of the power conferred by Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Revision of Tariff Rates on supply of Electrical Energy Act, 1978 (Tamil Nadu Act I of 1979), the Governor of Tamil Nadu hereby makes the following amendments to the Schedule to the said Act.

2. The amendments hereby made shall come into force on the 1st September, 1995.

## AMENDMENTS

In the said Schedule,

- (1) Under the heading "PART A-HIGH TENSION SUPPLY", in the entry under the sub heading "High Tension Tariff II", after the expression "Government Hospitals", the following shall be inserted, namely:-

"Hospitals under the control of Panchayat Unions, Municipalities or Corporations, Veterinary Hospitals, Leprosy Sub-Centres, Primary Health Centres, Health Sub-Centres,";

- (2) Under the Heading "PART B-LOW TENSION SUPPLY", in the entry under the sub-heading "Low Tension Tariff-VII", after the expression "Government Hospitals", the following shall be inserted namely:-

"Hospitals under the control of Panchayat Unions, Municipalities or Corporations, Veterinary Hospitals, Leprosy Sub-Centres, Primary Health Centres, Health Sub-Centres."

(BY ORDER OF THE GOVERNOR)

SUSAN MATHEW,  
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To  
The Chairman, Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Madras.2.  
The Secretary, Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Madras.2.

-TRUE COPY/-

B.G.11. (SEP-95)

ELECTRICITY - Delegation of powers to Chairman to sanction estimates for dismantling of service connection -  
Amendment to Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Manual Vol.II issued.

(Per) BP (FB) No.26

ACCOUNTS BRANCH

Dated 28.9.1995.

READ:

(Per) B.P.(FB) No.40 (Sectt.Br.) Dated the 17th June 1995 Aani 3, Yuwa Thiruvalluvar Aandu 2026.

**PROCEEDINGS:**

The following amendment is issued to the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Manual Vol.II Appendix-I delegating powers to Chairman/Tamil Nadu Electricity Board for dismantling service connections.

<u>Item No.</u> (Col.1)	<u>Particulars</u> (Col.2)	<u>Chairman</u> (Col.3)	<u>Authority</u> (Col.9)
48(iii)	Dismantling of service connection after the approval of competent authority to accept original agreement.	Rs.10 lakhs	(Per) B.P.(FB) No.40 (Sectt.Br.) dt.the 17th June 1995.

There is no change in powers delegated to Chief Engineer and Executive Engineer.

(BY ORDER OF THE BOARD)

(sd.) X X X X  
CHIEF FINANCIAL CONTROLLER.



## INDEX

Page

### Accounts :

#### IDBP :

Small Industries Development Bank of India (SIDB)—Bill Rediscounting Scheme—Proposal for availing of credit limit for the year—1995-96—  
Approved. — 484

### Acts & Rules :

தமிழ்நாடு கடைகள் மற்றும் நிறுவனங்கள் சட்டம், 1947—தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார  
வாரிய அபிதிகாரத்திற்குட்பட்ட தமிழ் நாட்டிலுள்ள எல்லா நிறுவனங்  
களுக்கும் தமிழ்நாடு கடைகள் மற்றும் நிறுவனங்கள் சட்டத்தின் சில  
பிரிவுகளிலிருந்து 7—9—95 முதல் ஒரு ஆண்டுக்கு விதிவிலக்கு  
நீட்டித்து உத்தரவு—சம்பந்தமாக — 473

### Advances :

#### Conveyance :

Conveyance Advance — Irrespective of pay deciding eligibility—IAS/IPS/  
IFS probationers eligible for New car/Second hand car "Exception"  
under Article 2.7 of Tamil Nadu Financial Code—Volume-I—  
Orders—Issued — 441

### Allowances & Spl. Pays :

#### Travelling Allowance :

Classification of Grades of employees for purpose drawal of Travelling  
Allowance consequent on revision of scales of pay and  
allowances to employees of the Board from 1—12—92 — Orders  
issued — 444

T.A. Regulations—Travel in train by I Class—Bed Roll charges  
collected separately—Reimbursement of—Clarification—Issued — 446

### Delegation of Powers :

To Chairman to sanction estimates for dismantling of service  
connection - Amendment to TNEB Manual Vol. II—issued — 495

### Disciplinary Proceedings & Appeal Regulations :

#### Departmental enquiries :

Summoning and examination of private witness and complainants—  
Instructions—Issued — 446

### Electricity :

#### Agri. Services :

Effecting of mere agriculture service connection pending improvement  
works—Instructions—Reg. — 486

#### C.C. Charges :

Disconnected service—Monthly minimum charges for the period  
of extension of time permitted for the termination of agreement—  
Instructions—Reg. — 485

**Electricity : — (Contd.)****Expansion of Project :**

Elcyc.—Availing power from Neyveli Thermal Station—I expansion —  
signing of memorandum of understanding with Neyveli Lignite  
Corporation by Chairman/TNEB — Approved — 488

**Meters :**

Acceptance of consumer meters—Instructions—Issued — 486

**L.T./H.T. Industries :**

Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board—Procedure for service connection  
to L.T./H.T. Industries under "Red Category". — 489

**Terms and conditions :**

Application of Govt. Hospital Tariff to Primary Health Centres, Health  
Sub-centres, Veterinary Hospitals, Leprosy Sub-centres and  
hospitals under the control of Municipalities/Corporation/  
Panchayat Union—Reg. — 493

Supply of Elcyc. Review of Addl. C.C. Deposit in respect of H.T.  
Industries covered by Tariff concession—Revised Instructions issued — 487

**Theft of Energy :**

Inspection of Services—Detection of theft and Malpractices—Procedure  
of not adhering field staff leading to loss of revenue—Action to  
be initiated the erring officers and staff instructions—Issued — 471

**Establishment :**

Thiru R. Venkataraman, C.E./Chairman's Office—Relief—Ordered — 443

**Board's Counsel :**

TNEB—Board's Counsel—Appointment—Orders—Issued — 475

**Change of Control :**

Change of Adm. control of TNEB printing press from SE/MEDC/North  
to the control of SE/GCC/Madras—Orders—Issued — 483

Narimanam Gas Turbine Station—EE/Elect. with supporting staff in  
Narimanam Gas Turbine Station now under the control of  
CE/MTPP—Transfer to the control of SE/Operation,  
BBGTP—Orders—Issued — 470

**Change of nomenclature :**

ETPS—Change of nomenclature of CE/ETPS and BBPH as  
CE/ETPS—Orders Issued — 471

Redesignation of the EE/System Studies and E. E. /Data Bank  
under SE/Planning—Orders issued — 447

Technical Branch—Redesignation of the office of the SE/Planning-I  
as SE/Planning—Orders—Issued — 440

**Committee :**

Technical Audit—Tech. Scrutiny of P.O. dockets in G.C.C.—  
Appl. of sample—Formation of "Sample Appl. Committee"—  
Instructions—Issued — 486

Establishment : — (Contd.)	Page
Imprest :	
Enhancement of imprest of medical Officers of T.N.E.B.— Orders—Issued	— 456
Interim Relief :	
Grant of Interim Relief to employees of State Govt.—Certain clarification issued by Govt — Copy communicated	— 482
Judgement :	
W.P. Nos. 341, 478 and 1121 of 1995 filed by Thiru R. Mouthuvaraj and others—Reg.	— 447
W.P. No. 16534/94 filed by Thiru K. Ramasamy, AE/Elecl. Vs. Chief Engineer/Coimbatore—Judgement delivered— Further instruction issued—Reg.	— 444
Option :	
Scales of pay 1992—Option to come over to raised scales of pay on date of appointment by internal selection on a date between 1—12—92 and 31—12—93 — Orders issued.	— 481
Revision of scales of pay :	
To Officers—Revision of scales of pay and allowances from 1—12—92 — Anomaly of Junior getting more pay than Senior in the revised scales of pay—Rectification—Ordered— Amendment issued	— 479
To Workmen—Revision of scales of pay and allowances from 1— 2—92 — Anomaly of Junior getting more pay than Senior in the revised scales of pay—Rectification— Ordered—Amendment issued	— 480
To Officers of Board—Orders—Amendment—Issued	— 478
Revision of work allocation and staff pattern and revision of scales of pay rates of DA, HRA, CCA and other special pays and allowances—Orders—Amendment— Issued	— 478
Retirement :	
Class I Officers — Retired from the Service of the Board on 31—7—95 A. N. — Notification — Issued.	— 438
Spl. C. L.	
Tamil Nadu Electricity Workers' Federation — Staff conference on 23—9—95 at Madras — Grant of Special Casual Leave to their members — Instructions — Issued.	— 470
Standing counsels :	
Board's Standing Counsels — Re-allocation of subjects — Orders — Issued.	— 476
Work allocation :	
Revision of work allocation, staff pattern, scales of pay and allowances — Orders — Amendment — Issued.	— 440
B.G.—12 (Sept. 95)	

**Labour:****Apex Level Committee:**

Apex Level Joint Committee copies of the General Orders —  
Instruction — Regarding. — 443

**Office Procedures:**

தமிழ் ஆட்சி மொழிச் செயலாக்கம் — அலுவலக நடைமுறை மாதிரி  
குறிப்புகள் / குறிப்பாணைகள் / படிவங்கள் — தமிழாக்கம் செய்து  
அளித்தல் — தொடர்பாக. — 457

**Pension & Gratuity:**

Payment of Terminal Benefit to employees including employees of  
State Govt. undertaking and Local Bodies absorbed in Neyveli  
Lignits Corporation Ltd. — Certain clarification — Issued. — 438

**Stores:**

Develution of released materials — Instructions issued. — 488

**Service Regulation:**

Regulation 56 — Regulation of suspension period — Payment of  
Cash Allowance — Amendment — Issued. — 442